The Charitable Funds Committee asked that clear guidance be provided to staff both on how to raise funds for SaTH Charity and also how to apply for funds from the Charity.

This policy has been drawn up with support from Finance colleagues and advice from the Trust’s solicitors.

The Board is asked to approve the Policy (in information pack)

Previously considered by
Charitable Funds Committee May 2019
Policy Approval Group May 2019

The Committee is asked to:

- **☑ Approve**
- □ Receive
- □ Note
- □ Take Assurance

To formally receive and discuss a report and approve its recommendations or a particular course of action
To discuss, in depth, noting the implications for the Board or Trust without formally approving it
For the intelligence of the Committee without in-depth discussion required
To assure the Committee that effective systems of control are in place

Link to CQC domain:
- ☑ Safe
- ☑ Effective
- □ Caring
- □ Responsive
- □ Well-led

Select the strategic objective which this paper supports

- PATIENT AND FAMILY Listening to and working with our patients and families to improve healthcare
- **☑ SAFEST AND KINDEST** Our patients and staff will tell us they feel safe and received kind care
- □ HEALTHIEST HALF MILLION Working with our partners to promote 'Healthy Choices' for all our communities
- □ LEADERSHIP Innovative and Inspiration Leadership to deliver our ambitions
- ☑ OUR PEOPLE Creating a great place to work

Link to Board Assurance Framework risk(s)

- If we do not achieve safe and efficient patient flow and improve our processes and capacity and demand planning then we will fail the national quality and performance standards (RR 561).
- If we do not develop real engagement with our staff and our community we will fail to support an improvement in health outcomes and deliver our service vision (RR 1186).
If we do not get good levels of staff engagement to get a culture of continuous improvement then staff morale & patient outcomes may not improve (RR 423).

Risk to sustainability of clinical services due to shortages of key clinical staff (RR 859).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equality Impact Assessment</th>
<th>Stage 1 only (no negative impact identified)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 2 recommended (negative impact identified and equality impact assessment attached for Board approval)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freedom of Information Act (2000) status</th>
<th>This document is for full publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This document includes FOIA exempt information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This whole document is exempt under the FOIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is there a financial impact associated with the paper? No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>