	Cover page						
Meeting	Trust Board						
Paper Title	Board Assurance Framework						
Date of meeting	28 November 2019						
Date paper was written	21 November 2019						
Responsible Director	Director of Corporate Governance						
Author	Governance Manager						
Executive Summar	Executive Summary						

The Trust Board's main focus is strategic. Board members need to know the key strategic objectives and be able to identify the principal risks to achieving those objectives. Assurance goes to the heart of the work of any NHS board of directors. The provision of healthcare involves risk and being assured is a major factor in successfully controlling risk.

- 1. The Board Assurance Framework (BAF). The BAF brings together in one place all of the relevant information on the risks to the Board's strategic objectives. It is an essential tool for Boards, and provides a structure and process that enables focus on those risks that might compromise its principal objectives.
 - 1.1 As described in 'next-steps' proposals at the Board Development Session on 31 October 2019, further development of the BAF is to include:
 - Inherent risk
 - 1st/2nd/3rd lines of assurance
 - Simplified risk wording (cause/effect/impact)

A revised draft BAF will be considered at the Audit & Risk Assurance Committee in December and a recommendation made to the Board for approval in January 2020.

2. Corporate Risk Register (CRR). The CRR lists all operational risks with a validated residual score ≥15 and is updated in priority order monthly at Operational Risk Group (ORG). The highest scoring risks (≥20) are then reviewed by Tier 2 Committees each month together with the BAF. These risks are also reviewed at Senior Leadership Team, and used at Capital Planning Group to inform the priorities for capital funding. The risks presented here are an abridged summary with the full web-based detailed working risk register being held on the 4Risk system. This is used by risk owners to manage their risks with a full record of all controls, actions and narrative which is regularly reviewed by risk owners and reported at ORG

The November 2019 snapshot shows that there are currently 102 'Red' residual score (>=15) open risks. 40 'Red' residual score (>=15) risks have been closed in the last year. 33 have an increased score (Red residual) of which 15 had a pre-existing 'red' score.

Date	Increased score	New risks	Closed	Tot risks
Nov-19	30	40	42	114
Nov-18	-	-	-	68

Appended:

- Attachment 1 is the BAF approved by the Board on 31 October 2019. Updates proposed since last presented are shown in purple text.
- Attachment 2 is the ORG Chair's summary from the meeting on 12 November 2019.
- Attachment 3 gives reference information on risk appetite statements linked to the Trust's objectives.

Included in supplementary information pack:

• Attachment 4 shows the highest scoring risks (residual ≥ 20) taken from the CRR.

The Trust Board is asked to:

- **APPROVE** the recommendations with respect to the BAF and
- NOTE the summary of high scoring risks from the Corporate Risk Register

Previously considered by	Stand	ing item at Tru	st Board and	d all Tier 2	Committees		
The Committee is a	isked to):					
Approve		🗖 Rece	eive		Note	•	Take Assurance
To formally receive and discuss a report and approve its recommendations or a particular course of action		To discuss, in c noting the imp for the Board c without forma approving it	lications or Trust		telligence of the hout in-depth n required	To assure the Board the effective systems of control are in place	
Link to CQC domain		Effective	🔽 Ca	ring	Responsive	0	Vell-led
Jaie	V	LITECUVE	💌 Cd	i i i B		C	vvell-leu
Link to strategic objective(s) Link to Board	PAT to i SAF rec HEA Cho	mprove health EST AND KIND eived kind care ALTHIEST HALF pices' for all ou	/ILY Listenin care EST Our pate MILLION W Ir communit vative and In	ng to and v ients and s /orking wit ies nspiration	vorking with our staff will tell us t h our partners to Leadership to de	they o pro	mote 'Healthy
Assurance Framework risk(s)	All						
Equality Impact Assessment	o Sta	ge 1 only (no n ge 2 recommer essment attac	nded (negat	ive impact	identified and e	equal	ity impact
Freedom of Information Act	_	s document is	•		formation		
(2000) status	 This document includes FOIA exempt information This whole document is exempt under the FOIA 						
Financial assessment	n/a						

Ref	Descriptor	Dir	Low-Medium-High				
	ENT AND FAMILY Listening to and working with our patients Appetite: Open	=	LOW / MEDIUM				
1186	We need real engagement with our community to ensure that patients are at the centre of everything we do	=	Medium				
	EST AND KINDEST Patients and staff feel they were safe and received kind care Appetite: Moderate	=	HIGH / MEDIUM				
1204	Our maternity services need to evidence learning and improvement to enable the public to be confident that the service is safe	=	High/Medium				
1134	If we do not work successfully in partnership with the local health system to establish effective patient flow through well-staffed beds, then our current traditional service models will be insufficient to meet escalating demand						
1533	We need to implement all of the 'integrated improvement plan' which responds to CQC concerns so that we can evidence provision of outstanding care to our patients	=	High/Medium				
	FAINABLITY and HEALTHIEST HALF MILLION Working with our partners for all our communities Appetite: Open	=	MEDIUM				
561	We need to have system-wide effective processes in place to ensure we achieve national performance standards for key planned activity	=	Medium				
Risk	DERSHIP Innovative and Inspirational Leadership to deliver our ambitions appetite (transformation) : hungry appetite (finance): moderate	=	HIGH / MEDIUM				
668	We need to deliver our £312m hospital reconfiguration to ensure our patients get the best care	=	Medium				
670	We need to deliver our control total and meet the trajectory to live within our financial means so we can meet our financial duties and invest in service development and innovation	=	High				
1492	We need an agreed Digital Strategy to underpin service improvement	=	High				
1558	We need to have sufficient, competent and capable Directors to deliver the Trust's agenda	=	High/Medium				
1584	We need funds to invest in our aging estate and replace old equipment so we can provide higher quality care in a safe environment	=	High				
	PEOPLE Creating a great place to work Appetite: Open	=	HIGH				
423	We need positive staff engagement to support a culture of safety and continuous improvement	=	High				
859	We need a recruitment strategy and retention strategy along with demand-based rostering for key clinical staff to ensure the sustainability of services	=	High				
		<u>Key</u> =	Declining Improving No change				

Risk ID	Description	Current Controls	Gaps in Controls	Current	Assurance	Gaps in Assurance
1100		Pagalaia Acadamy astablishad	Ris	k Aj	ing to and working with our patients opetite: Open	Formal Concernation for
1186	We need real engagement with our community to ensure that patients are at the centre of everything we do. Potential impacts: • Lack of trust from our community • Breach of legal involvement duties • Damage to Trust reputation		Integrated Comms and Engagement Strategy	lium	Quarterly Community Engagement update to Board (Feb-19) Positive Cancer Patient Survey results (Oct 18) Positive Maternity Patient Survey results (2018) Volunteer Strategy 10,000+ public membership Patient Experience Group established (Sep-18) Patient-led Assessments of Clinical Environment (PLACE) improved Jan 19 - Privacy and dignity - Dementia care Ophthalmology engagement (Feb-19) Macmillan engagement (Feb-9) Community Connector sessions planned (Mar- Dec-19) Winners of MES Community Engagement (May-19) Ophthalmology engagement process (Jul-19) Engagement Annual Report to Trust Board (Jul- 19)	Formal Governance structure for members

Further Planned Actions	Target	C'ttee	Owner
Establish People's Forum (Dec-19) DCG Develop integrated Comms and Engagement Strategy (Jan-20)	Low	Trust Board	Director of Corporate Governance

learning and public to be safe. Potential imp • Patients ch Avoidable ha • difficulty re • low staff mo	Our maternity services need to evidence learning and improvement to enable the public to be confident that the service is safe. Potential impacts: • Patients choosing other providers Avoidable harm to patients • difficulty recruiting staff • low staff morale • difficulty retaining staff	Being Open and Duty of Candour policy Revised Incident reporting policy Review meetings to review incidents, legals and complaints & SIRG VMI - Value Stream 5 (Patient Safety) Actions taken in response to CQC inspection Temporary inpatient closure of MLUs (Nov 18) QIP ISG chaired by interim CN 08.19 Interim Midwife Advisor in place.	No Head of Midwifery in post.	Maternity learning board presentation Maternity outcomes dashboard Neonatal Critical Care review 2018 & action plan FFT – monthly 98%+ recommendation Maternity & Neonatal Safety Collaborative – 2018 GIRFT (<i>Get It Right First Time</i>) 2018 Improvement in n CQC Maternity Survey (Jan- 19) CQC Maternity score 2018 - about the same as others Linked with Princess Alexandra Hospital Harlow (Jan-19) Maternity Improvement Steering Group in place (Jan-19) Established Maternity Task Force Committee chaired by Trust Chair - (Feb-19) Maternity Assurance Committee (Chaired by	Secretary of State review – expanded and delayed & open book review CQC Inspection and Conditions letter (Nov-18)	Complete Quality Improvement Plan (Sep-19) DON & embed MBRRACE data (for 2016) (Aug-19) consultation Autumn 19 Fresh Eyes Report by Interim Strategic Midwife. CNST Incentivisation Action Plan CCG MLU Consultation imminent CQC Inspection (Nov-19)	Low Taskforce Committee
0,	MBRRACE results SoS Review progress	_	MBRRACE results SoS Review progress	Trust Chairman) CQC Engagement meetings - submission of section 31 review monthly and sign off by Div Triumvirate, CN & Md weekly. August 18 interim strategic midwife in place until new DoM starts. Director of Midwifery appointed (Oct-19) awaiting start date		MBRRACE results SoS Review progress	L L Maternity
ſ	Maternity CQC Patient Survey]	Maternity CQC Patient Survey	<u>ب</u>		Maternity CQC Patient Survey	_
L.	Maternity Dashboard	-	Maternity Dashboard			Maternity Dashboard	

1134 1369 1158 1197 1235 1426 1585	If we do not work successfully in partnership, our current traditional service models for both unscheduled and scheduled care will be insufficient to meet escalating demand. Potential Impacts • Poor experience for patients – delays & moves • Additional patients on wards with additional staffing costs • Failure to achieve 92% bed occupancy • Reduced quality of care (sepsis, ED delays) • Low staff morale • Increased levels of Delays in Transfers of Care • Increased ambulance handover delays • Reputational damage • Clinical Safety Challenges • Recruitment and retention problems	and Group VMI - Value Stream 1 Respiratory Ward Discharge roll-out VMI – Value Stream 8 (ED process)	Unable to staff escalation wards with substantive staff Ward capacity at RSH and PRH 7-day working not in place throughout service Pre-noon discharge below NHS target 33% (SaTH at 15%) Lack of Microbiology consultants At times of high operational pressure, some patients can only be accommodated in contravention to Escalation Policy	Continued reduction in falls, below national levels (Dec-18) Reduction in super stranded and stranded patients (now in top quartile Maintained 21 - Q2 2019) STP update – Urgent Care, Frailty and Winter Planning Programme underway (Sep-19) Out of Hospital Programmes (Shropshire Care Closer to Home, T&W Neighbourhood Working) Review of Shropshire Community Services (Aug-19) - linked to STP work programme. Meeting DTOC target of 3.5%. ECIST Review (Mar / Jun-19) CDU open at RSH (Mar-19) 7 Day Framework presented to Board Jul-19) Minors performance improved from 86.9% Mar 18 to 98.1% (Mar-19) Ward 35 - planned Nov-19 Super-stranded performance maintained (Jul- 19) ED performance is showing slow recovery (but not at rate planned) Orthopaedic capacity realigned at PRH (Jun- 19) COO	(Sep-18) Complex Discharge	STP Recovery plan to deliver 4 hour target includes target of 85% patients being discharged within 48 hours. Mar 20 COO 7 Day Working Action Plan (June 19) WD Transfer SaTH 2 Home to Local Authorities Sept 19 CEO Establish A&E Oversight Group Jul-19 DCE A&E Delivery Board support to PRH capacity includes review of stroke rehab pathway.	Low Oucline & Soferie	allty &	Chief Operating Officer
	ED 4hr Target		ED 4hr Target	I		ED 4hr Target	Σ		
	Sepsis CQUIN Target	1	Sepsis CQUIN Target	I		Sepsis CQUIN Target	_		
	Super-stranded performance	1	Super-stranded performance	_		Super-stranded performance	_		
	Risk Adjusted Mortality Index (RAMI)		RAMI			Patient mortality - RAMI	-		

3	We need to implement all of the 'integrated	PMO support	Lack of clinical oversight for SaTH PMO	 Monthly QIP update reports to TB 	Full compliance and achievement in	SaTH PMO review into s29 and s31 reporting		
		ISG and Improvement Governance		 Monthly updates against s29 and s31 	section 29 (ED) & 31 (Mar-19)	ownership and responsibilities		
	concerns so that we can evidence provision	structure in place (Jan-19). Chaired by		regulatory notices to CQC & NHSI	Robust PMO to support QIP	Working with NHSI Improvement Director &		
	of outstanding care to our patients.	NQN from Sep-19		- Maternity (Feb-19) 90% complete (16/20)	Key leadership role gaps (Director of	Execs to strengthen QIP and PMO approach.		
		QIP Plan agreed (Mar-19)		- Well-Led sessions with Board and SLT (Feb-	Nursing) to oversee s29 and 231	Refresh of QIP Governance arrangements.		
		Two weekly reporting for QIP		19)	reporting			
	Potential Impacts	established (Mar-19)		- Engagement and Enablement Group to link to				
	Patients do not receive safe, high quality care	Weekly reporting each week to		wider staff engagement agenda				>
		NHSI/CQC against regulatory		- Improvement Steering Groups established.				ality
	5 , 1 ,	enforcement notices, providing		- Monthly Scrutiny Oversight and Assurance				ē
	5 I I I	progress on action plan. Signed off by		Group established with system partners.			2	2
		CN & MD and Div Triumvirates.		- QIP Action plans finalised (Apr-19)			Lo	
		Monthly Safety Oversight and		- Trust has made progress Cycle 7: 163/397			Safety	ery for
	User surveys deteriorate	Assurance Group (SOAG) meeting with		'Must Dos' and 'Should Dos'			Saf	laui-
		system partners established (Feb-19)		- DOM appointed (Jul-19), commences Nov-19.			∞	5 2
		SaTH PMO team in place May 19					ality	
		KPIs (high-level) and root cause level)					ual	
		developed and reported against (May-					ou 0	
		19)						- to
		Extra midwife sessions in place 8.09.						2
		Internal review of existing QIP / must						5
		and should dos.						Ż
ŀ	Progress against s29 action plan		Progress against s29 action plan			Progress against s29 action plan		
	Frogress against sz9 action plan		Flogress against \$29 action plan			Frogress against 529 action plan	_	
ŀ	Des mans a seinet c24 action alor		Decrement and action alon			Des mans a naimet a24 action alon		
	Progress against s31 action plan		Progress against s31 action plan	I		Progress against s31 action plan	_	
ŀ	Progress against full action plan		Progress against full action plan			Progress against full action plan		
				I				

	SUSTA		LLION Working with our partners for all k Appetite: Open	our communities			
We need to have system-wide effective processes in place to ensure we achieve national performance standards for key planned activity. Potential Impacts • Poor /unsafe patient care & experience • Financial penalties • Performance notices • Failure to receive STF allocation • Additional patients on wards	LHE Winter Plan (Sep 19) Whole health economy surge plan in place and monitored closely. NHSI monthly Performance Review Meeting (PRM) and Quarterly Reviews Clinical Quality Review Meeting with Commissioners SAFER programme /standard work value stream Frailty Project VMI – Value Stream 4 (Outpatients) Value Stream 8 – Surgical Pathway Value Stream 7 – CT Scans Reconstitution of Cancer Board (Mar- 19) SaTH / CCG Planned Care WF	Workforce challenges and demand in - Urology - Breast - Anaesthetics National NHS pension challenge restricting some medical staff - WLI / additional PAs.	 RTT Recovery plans for non-compliant specialties; Cancer Patient Survey (Sep-18) Reduction in super stranded patients – now in top quartile 99% patients received diagnostics within 6 weeks (Jun-19) CHKS Top 40 Hospitals for sixth consecutive year (Oct-18) Current DNA and 30 day readmission performance exceeds peer median and nationa median Cancelled Operations increased RTT position Vanguard Unit at PRH (from May-19) to address RTT target Lung Cancer Pathway undergoing TCPS treatment. MDT Rapid Improvement Week (May-19) £100k allocated by Cancer Alliance for Urology, Upper GI, Lung & Colorectal. Further cancer funding (Aug-19) to be aligned to priorities. 31 day cancer currently 97.1% against target 95% (Mar-19) 2 week target currently 89.7% against target (Jun- 		Urology links being developed with UHNM - ongoing COO Planning 2 week recovery with NHSI July 19 COO RTT Recovery Plans COO 62 day target recovery (by Dec-19) COO Winter planning - capacity funding envelop (SaTH/CCGs).	Low Performance	
Diagnostic target		Diagnostic target	19)		Diagnostic target	_	
Cancer waiting times	-	Cancer waiting times	Σ		Cancer waiting times	_	
RTT Targets	1	RTT Targets	Σ		RTT Targets		

			Risk appetite (rational Leadership to deliver our amb transformation) : hungry e (finance): moderate	bitions				
670	We need to deliver our control total and meet the trajectory to live within our financial means so we can meet our financial duties and invest in service development and innovation. <u>Potential Impacts</u> • Inability to invest in development of services • Impacts on cash flow and borrowing requirement • Investment required to improve efficiency • Poor patient experience	Capital planning process and prioritisation Risk based approach to replacement of equipment Confirm and challenge meetings with Care Groups	Performance management of adverse variance to Plan Pay and non pay controls Budgetary control and performance	(monthly TB) Procurement CIP delivery		Progress against operational plan to be regularly reported to Trust Board – ongoing COO Deloitte commissionned to undertake CIP financial advisory project Pay and non pay controls to be reviewed Accellerate action undertaken at CIP schemes	Medium	Performance	Finance Director
	Cost improvement Programme		Cost improvement Programme			Cost improvement Programme	Σ		
	Shortfall in liquidity		Shortfall in liquidity			Shortfall in liquidity	Σ		
	Shortfall in I&E	-	Shortfall in I&E			Shortfall in I&E	Σ		
1584	We need funds to invest in our ageing estate to replace old equipment so we can provide the highest quality of care in a safe environment. Potential impacts • Unable to invest in Trust infrastructure • Lack of funds to invest in improving the environment and modern equipment • Poor patient experience	 Prioritised backlog list May 19 	Insufficient funds to modernise estates, equipment No rolling maintenance replacement programme for Estates/equipment Decontamination issues	Qualitative Design Review Copthorne Building (Mar-19) Monthly Estates Report to Trust Board (Apr-19) Investment in reducing highest rated risks approved (Apr-19) Diagnostic equipment Lease Purchase approved (Jun-19) Contract Award for CT Scanners for PRH Lease Purchase approved (Jun-19) Associate Director of Estates in post (Oct-19)	and Estates prioritised and risk assessed replacement plan not in place	Appoint additional Compliance and Fire Function (Sep-19) DCG Six Facet Survey Review (Jun-19) DCG Implement decontamination contingency (Sep- 19) Refresh Six Facet Survey, risk management and prioritisation Q3 Medical equipment and device backlog to be refreshed Q2 / Q3 Additional capital of £1.5m confirmed for winter beds and £4.8m for modular building/MLU reconfiguration at PRH. Evaluation commenced of endoscopy decontamination and scopes managed equipment service Confirm emergency capital for Copthorne building fir e safety	Medium	Sustainability	Director of Corporate Governance
	Equipment Priority List		Equipment Priority list	_		Equipment Priority list	Σ		ā
	Estates High Risks	-	Estates High Risks			Estates High Risks	Σ		
	6 Facet Survey	1	6 Facet Survey			6 Facet Survey	Σ		

	In				
668	We need to deliver our £312m hospital	Programme resources in place	Severe shortages of key clinical staff required	Post Consultation Business Case (PCBC)	Challenge to decision (Apr- 19)
	reconfiguration to ensure our patients get		to sustain clinical services	approved by a Joint Committee of the CCGs	Referral to SoS
	the best care.	SaTH Sustainability Committee to		(Jan 19)	100
		oversee implementation Sustainable		CEO chairing SSP Group (Feb 19)	IRP response
	Potential impacts:	Service Programme		SOC approved by Trust Board (Feb 19)	
	unsustainable services			SOC formally submitted to NHSI for approval	
	Suboptimal use of scarce workforce resource	STP wide Independent Oversight		(Feb 19)	
	Additional costs arising from current service	Group (IOG) established to oversee		Increase in number of ED consultants	
	reconfiguration	delivery of the acute (SSP) and		appointed since announcement of £312m	
	 Inability to attract essential staff 	community programmes		Visit by SoS to PRH (Mar 19)	
				OBC in development (Mar 19)	
		NHS Transformation Unit supporting		3P event held 50 senior clinicians output to	
		SSP in Programme Director role		inform OBC development (Mar 19) completed	
				SSP project team structure approved and	
		Appointment of Deputy Chief Executive		recruitment due to complete (by end Jun 19)	
		(Jun-19)		Control Support for THP - procurement	
				Oct-19	
		Appointmernt of Director of Strategy &		Programme Director commenced to oversee	
		Transformation and Associate Director		delivery of the OBC (Sep-19)	
		of Transformation (Sep-19)		Associate Director of Service Transformation	
		TUD time line for delivery revised and		to commence in post (Oct-19)	
		THP timeline for delivery revised and		Director of Clinical Effectiveness & Innovation	
		agreed		appointed to start Jun-19	
		Draight governesses revised and agreed		Programme Director reviewing RLB OBC	
		Project governance revised and agreed		development recommendations and plan for implementation (Jun-19)	
				Meeting with NHSI re SOC delivery (Oct-19)	
				Clinical Strategy development workshop (Oct-	
				19)	
				IRP response received to progress (Oct-19)	
				IRP response received to progress (Oct-19)	
	Preferred option agreed	4	Preferred option agreed		
	i leiened option agreed		i leiened option agreed		
		_			
	Outline Business Case approved		Outline Business Case approved	Σ	
	Full Business Case approved	1	Full Business Case approved		
				I	
I					

Further recruitment to SSP project team to full capacity (Jul-19) Identification of additional resource required within departments and care groups to support development of the OBC (Jul-19) Recruit Director of Strategy & Transformation (Jun-19) CEO Board OBC workshop (Aug-19) OBC approval (Oct -19) • Reviewing options including inflation costs and scope • Review options for multi-story car parking and Energy Centre Clinical engagement in clinical model delivery IRP response to Board (Oct-19) SOC to Board (Oct-19)	Very Low	Sustainability	Deputy Chief Executive Officer
	Z		
Outline Business Case approved	Ł		
Full Business Case approved	٨L		

1492	We need an agreed Digital Strategy to underpin service improvement Potential impacts: • Risk of missed patient test results, resulting in missed or late treatment • Not having immediate access to all relevant patient information • Unable to drive or underpin clinical improvements • Risk of piecemeal approach to system purchases which could compromise overall interoperability and transformational agenda	systems Working towards implementation of Digital Change Control Board (DCCB)	No current Digitisation Strategy No Director-level lead across both IM & IT No Trust wide agreed process to control new systems or changes to existing systems OS upgrade required on c.500 devices to ensure continuity of Windows updates	Updates quarterly to Sustainability Committee Digital Steering Committee and Digital Change Control Board established STP funding for increased storage (Mar-19) Board/SLT Session on Digitisation (Feb-19) Board session with NHSE Regional Directors (Jun-19) Board Session on Digitisation (Jun-19) with NHSI/E to agree priorities	PA review of infrastructure and EPR readiness (Feb-19) NHS Digital Trust System Support Model (TSSM) team review (Jun-19): - current infrastructure - PA infrastructure report - minimum requirements to ensure stable infrastructure	OBC - EPR/infrastructure (Jun-19) DCE Windows 10 upgrade (2019/20) DCG Appoint Digital Leader (Jul -19) DCE Appoint Cyber Security Function (Jul-19) DCG Consider Medical Records Strategy to prepare for EPR (Sep-19) - DCE Prioritisation & assessment of IT projects currently in flight through to early stages of working up, in context of team capability and capacity (Jul -19)	Low Sustainability	Finance Director	
	IT digitisation strategy approved Outline Business Case for EPR and infrastructure approved Full Business Case for EPR and infrastructure approved		IT digitisation strategy Outline Business Case for EPR and infrastructure approved Full Business Case for EPR and infrastructure approved			IT digitisation strategy in place Outline Business Case for EPR approved Full Business Case for EPR approved	NL VL VL		
1558	We need to have sufficient, competent and capable Directors to deliver the Trust's agenda. Potential Impacts • Suboptimal performance across quality, finance, performance and workforce • Lack of confidence in Trust • Reputational damage	QIP Plan Well-Led Improvement Steering Group	Lack of Leadership strategy and development programme with succession planning Lack of clearly defined organisational strategy High percentage of interim Directors	Stronger links with Execs and SLT through introduction of SLT Development sessions Well-Led Sessions with Board and SLT Well-Led Action Plan (Mar-19) Improved Governance Structure Tier 3 Committee Review implemented 'Plotting the Dots' session (May-19) Deputy CEO appointed (May-19) Interim FD appointed (May-19) Interim Nurse Director appointed (May-19) Deloitte Well-Led Review incorporated into well- led action plan SLT meetings now focused on joint solutions. Interim CEO appointed (Jun-19) Executive team portfolio reviews conducted.	CQC Well-Led Inadequate (Nov-18)	Recruitment of Director of Strategy and Transformation (Sep-19) Recruitment of Head of Midwifery (Sep-19) Recruitment of substantive Executive team on course (Nov-19 to Feb-20)	Low Sustainability	Chief Executive Officer	
	CQC Well-Led		CQC Well-Led	-		CQC Well-Led	-		
	Staff Survey immediate managers score		Staff Survey immediate managers score	Σ		Staff Survey immediate managers score	-		

			reating a great place to work k Appetite: Open		
We need positive staff engagement to support a culture of safety and continuous improvement. Potential impacts: • Loss of key staff • Poor experience for patients Low staff morale • Poor work environment and experience for staff • Continued high reliance on temporary staff Increased concerns/ reports of harassment/bullying • High sickness absence including stress • staff working in excess of contracted hours	Plan Staff induction linked to Trust values Stress risk assessments process for staff updated in partnership with Health	Rates of Statutory and Mandatory Training (currently 78%) (Aug-19) OD Strategy/Plan Overall deterioration in staff survey score	Monthly Workforce Reports Annual and monthly VIP Awards. Improving Appraisal rate (88% + Medical Staff 97%) Sep-18 Think On Exec session (Mar-19) Master Coach Programme linked to Engagement Champions Think On session with SLT and Board (Apr/May- 19) Engagement and Enablement Group to develop Engagement Champions - DCG (Mar-19) Think On Steering Group established (Apr-19) Training for 22 Think On Coaches May-19 17 Freedom to Speak Up Advocates appointed (May-19) 2 additional Freedom to Speak Up Guardian appointed. Engagement Champions lauch sessions (May- 19) Over 50 Engagement Champions identified Bi-monthly Pulse survey introduced (May- 19) Doctor's Mess and accommodation refurbished (May-19)	for staff engagement and feedback (Nov-19)	S D (\$ a (\$ N
Recommendation as place to work		Recommendation as place to work - from staff survey results	Ŧ		R st
Motivation at work	1	Motivation at work - from staff survey results	I		М
Contribution to improvement		Contribution to improvement - from staff survey results	I		C sı
Experiencing bullying and harassment	1	Experiencing bullying and harassment - from staff survey results	I		E> sta

Leadership Academy syllabus launch 2019 WD Staff App to be launched (Jul-19) Developing People Strategy to include OD (Sept -19) Engagement action plan to form part of the People Strategy (Sep-19) New revised Appraisal and Personal Development plan linked to Training Needs Analysis (Oct-19) Revision of Onboarding process to include new induction (Oct-19)	Very Low	Workforce	Workforce Director
Recommendation as place to work - target - staff survey results	٨L		
Motivation at work - target - staff survey results	٨L		
Contribution to improvement - target - staff survey results	٨L		
Experiencing bullying and harassment - target - staff survey results	٨L		

850	We need a recruitment strategy and		Full implementation of nurse staffing templates	All	High levels of escalation resulting in	Working with Walton Centre to develop a hut			
0.09	retention strategy along with demand-based		geared to nurse recruitment	Workforce Report (monthly)	high use of agency staff	and spoke model for neurology (Jul-19) COO	, l		1 I
	rostering for key clinical staff to ensure the		Lack of progress re plan for Multi-professional	NHSE Workforce Summit	Fragility of some services (Jul-18)	Working with Stoke to develop model for			i
	sustainability of services.	redesign and skill mix reviews	Ward Pilot	Medical	Workforce Committee – Low Assurance	5			i
	sustainability of services.	Process for managing staff shortages	Insufficient GI Service on two sites (Apr-19)	Robust Middle Grade recruitment process (Oct	for Nurse Recruitment Strategy (Jan-	Looking at Microbiology alternative model for			i
	Potential Impacts:	which may impact on patient care	Microbiology Consultants staffing (Apr-19)	18)		Service Delivery (Jun-19) COO			i
	Inability to continue with current provision of	Development of new roles	Paediatric Cardiology Consultant cover for	Nursing	ED Nurse Business Case approved	Cervice Derivery (Juli 13) COO			i
	service	5 year workforce plan	Inpatients/Outpatients and Neonates - CRR	60 new nurses starting (Sep 18)	(May-19)				i
	Poor experience for patients	Securing £312m capital allows public	1580 (Apr-19)	Soverseas medical recruitment was successful	(May 13)		>		ı
	Delays in care	consultation to now occur and has	1000 (/\pi 10)	and 17 Middle Grades recruited.			No 1		5
	Failure to comply with national standards and	reduced service anxiety due to		Nursing recruitment Dublin (Feb-19)					Officer tality
	best practice tariffs	uncertainty		Junior Doctor Benefits realisation Review (May-					ing Offic Quality
	Reduced quality of care	Development of a People Strategy		19)					ling Q
	Further difficulties in recruiting staff due to	Medical		Offers of employment made to 70 overseas					ind rat
	unreasonable on-call commitments	Medical staffing streamlined consultant		nurses (Jun-19)					2 pe
		recruitment		Recruitment & Retention oversight committee					of C cto
		Clinical leaders managing workforce		established (Jul-19)				LC6	irie dv
		cover including "working down"		established (Jul-19)				б	С Д II
1468	Urology	Job planning	Urology			Urology		Vor	e Director with Chief Operating C Medical Director or of Nursing, Midwifery and Qua
		Overseas recruitment		I I			цц.,	>	or 1ed
4500	Assessment	Nursing	A second stress			Assessment			Nu v ect
1586	Anaesthetics	Ward staffing templates	Anaesthetics	T		Anaesthetics			of Dir
		Block booking agency staff							tor ce
748	Breast Radiology	block booking agency stan	Breast Radiology			Breast Radiology			Workforce I Director
				-					E E
626	ED staffing (Consultants & Middle grades)	1	ED staffing (Consultants & Middle grades)			ED staffing (Consultants & Middle grades)			≥
				I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			цц.,		i
1062	Gastroenterology (Medical staffing)	4	Gastroenterology (Medical staffing)			Gastroenterology (Medical staffing)			ı
1002	Gastibenterology (medical stanling)		Gastroenterology (wedical stanling)	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Gastioenterology (Medical stanling)	<u> </u>		i
		4							ı
817	ED Nurse staffing		ED Nurse staffing	-		ED Nurse staffing			ı
									i
949	Critical care (Medical staffing)	1	Critical care (Medical staffing)			Critical care (Medical staffing)			1 I
				-			—		1 I
	1				1				



Operational Risk Group

Key summary points from the Operational Risk Group held on 12 November 2019.

1. New Risks added to the Corporate Risk Register (CRR)

• CRR tbc - Lack of Interventional Radiology Service Out-of-Hours

The impact to the Trust of failing to recruit a Vascular Interventional Radiologists is that there are insufficient Radiologists to undertake an out of hours rota for Emergency vascular interventional work.

Members of the Group confirmed the residual risk score of **15** (Consequence 5, Likelihood 3)..

• CRR tbc – Ageing Mammography Equipment

Ageing mammography equipment is leading to increasing unreliability and clinical downtime. It was noted that an approach has been made for any national funding that may be available as Trust equipment is on cusp of eligibility in terms of age

Members of the Group confirmed the residual risk score of **16** (Consequence 4, Likelihood 4).

• CRR tbc - Management of Governance within Radiology

The lack of capacity in this key area means that the Radiology Service cannot give the assurance needed that it is managing governance issues within the service to an acceptable standard and cannot demonstrate that it is working toward QSI. However, the management team is prioritising legislative compliance standards, particularly IR(ME)R so as not to receive any enforcement action notice specifically relating to the management of a Radiology Department. This is being managed with support from the medical physics provider to give assurance that all standards are met.

Members of the Group confirmed the residual risk score of **15** (Consequence 5, Likelihood 3).

• CRR tbc - Delayed Treatment Due to a Lack of Out of Hours CT Cerebral Angiogram (stroke)

The SaTH Radiology Service has never been able to consistently provide a 24/7 out of hours service for CT cerebral angiograms (CTCA). This is a test which requires a specialist skillset for reporting and only 3 of our consultants currently report these studies. The proposed solution being implemented is that that imaging is undertaken locally with the specialist team at UHNM supporting with a formal report which may then be entered onto SaTH internal systems. An SLA and IT integration solution is being developed to support the proposal.

Members of the Group confirmed the residual risk score of **20** (Consequence 5, Likelihood 4).

 CRRTBC - Potential Security Breach Due to a Lack of a Segregated IT Network for Radiology/Imaging Equipment

Although this risk was recognised and the residual score agreed 15, it was recommended that this is integrated into existing cyber security risk scoring 20.

• CRR tbc - Implementation of Remote Monitoring Somerset Cancer Register system There is a national mandate for an electronic system to be in place and operating from April 2020 and funding has been received from west-midlands Cancer Alliance for a remote monitoring software package inclusive of the IT resource (additional or backfill) to implement the software. The Digital Change Control Board is being approached.

Members of the Group confirmed the residual risk score of **20** (Consequence 4, Likelihood 5).

• CRR tbc - Inadequate Governance of Point of Care Testing within SaTH

Significant concern was raised around the risk of inadequate governance with respect to Point of Care Testing (POCT) within SaTH, which could potentially lead to patient safety issues through an increased likelihood of patients being treated inappropriately. Funding for the individual currently undertaking the role of improving governance arrangements is due to cease in Feb-20.

Members of the Group confirmed the residual risk score of **16** (Consequence 4, Likelihood 4) rising to **20** (4x5) in Feb-20 should no resolution be identified.

• CRR tbc - The ability to consistently to collect, monitor and report nursing data electronically

It was agreed that this risk (of replacing the legacy RATE software with GATHER) should be progressed through the Digital Change Control Board.

Members of the Group confirmed the with a residual risk score of **16** (Consequence 4, Likelihood 4).

2. Existing Risks

• CRR1659 - Surgical / emergency on call / PWTR

Current General Surgery on- call rota is not fit for purpose, delaying patients and impacting on worklife balance, staff morale, stress

Members of the Group agreed that the residual risk score increases from 12 to **16** (Consequence 4, Likelihood 4)

3. Closed risks

A total of 14 risks have been closed by risk owners over the last period. Of these, the highrated risks closed were:

• CRR 1190 - Reduction of WTE ANNP's due to retirement and maternity leave (residual score 16)

Closed by Nathalie Eveson – Advert out for full time/part time vacancies. Risk is subject to review and confirmation that it can remain closed.

4. Matters arising.

- The oversight assurance of performance delivery in respect the highest scoring operational risks will be undertaken by the newly formed Operational Performance Delivery Group (OPDG)
- The non-multiplication weighted risk scoring methodology will be used to assist with risk prioritisation with immediate effect.

Julia Clarke Chair of Operational Risk Group 12 November 2019

Risk Appetite statement by objective *Risk appetite is the level of risk the Trust will take in pursuit of its objectives*

Trust Objectives	Risk Appetite Statement	Appetite (level)
Listening to and working with our patients and families to improve healthcare	The Trust is keen to consider all delivery options and select those with the highest probability of productive outcomes even when there are elevated levels of associated risk	4 Open
Our patients and staff will tell us they feel safe and received kind care	The Trust will support innovation with demonstration of commensurate improvements in outcomes. Systems / technology used routinely to enable operational delivery.	3 Moderate
3 Working with our partners to promote 'Healthy Choices' for all our communities	The Trust is prepared to take decisions that are likely to bring scrutiny but where the potential benefits outweigh the risks. Value and health benefits will be considered, not just cost and resources allocated to capitalise on opportunities.	4 Open
a) Innovative and Inspiration Leadership to deliver our ambitions (transformation)	The Trust is eager to be innovative and to pursue options that offer potentially substantial rewards, despite also having greater levels of risk	5 Hungry
4 b) Innovative and Inspiration Leadership to deliver our ambitions (finance)	The Trust is prepared to invest for return and minimise the possibility of financial loss by managing the risks to a tolerable level. Value and benefits considered (not just cheapest price). Resources allocated in order to capitalise on opportunities.	3 Moderate
5 Creating a great place to work	The Trust will encourage new thinking and ideas that could lead to enhanced staff engagement	4 Open
Risk Appetite definitions 1 Averse: Avoidance of risk and uncertainty is a key organ	isation objective.	

INISK Appenie	
1 Averse:	Avoidance of risk and uncertainty is a key organisation objective.
2 Minimal:	Preference for ultra-safe options that are low risk and only have a potential for limited reward.
3 Moderate:	Preference for safe options that have a low degree of risk and may only have limited potential for reward.
4 Open:	Willing to consider all potential options and choose the one most likely to result in successful delivery, while also providing an acceptable level of reward and value for money.
5 Hungry:	Eager to be innovative and to choose options offering potentially higher business rewards, despite greater inherent risk.