COVERSHEET	
Meeting	Board of Directors meeting in Public
Paper Title	Board Assurance Framework
Date of meeting	5 November 2020
Date paper was written	29 October 2020
Responsible Director	Director of Governance (Interim)
Author	Chief Risk Officer
Presenter	Director of Governance (Interim)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Board Assurance Framework (BAF) describes a series of generic high-level risks set against the strategic aims and objectives of the Trust. Fundamentally, the Board's assurance committees seek and provide assurance to the Board, through the BAF, demonstrating that strategic risk is being managed. This BAF has in recent weeks has been through a number of iterations and has been further populated with current assurance described in 'three lines of defence' columns. The accuracy and detail within the BAF is to be determined by the Board of Directors and will be the subject of a risk Board development session planned for 27th November 2020. Owners of risks and their respective control owners are yet to be confirmed.

The BAF is a dynamic document. We will continue to evaluate and validate historical risks entered onto the 4Risk system, and will be discussing with colleagues, re-framing, re-scoring, and allocating existing risks to the BAF with the aim of embedding risk process and performance, re-establishing subsequent risk ownership and encouraging the development of risk culture.

The Board of Directors are asked to **RECEIVE** the Board Assurance Framework

Previously	/
considere	d bv

Risk Management Committee

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS' ARE ASKED TO:												
Approve	Receive	🗆 Note	Take Assurance									
To formally receive and discuss a report and approve its recommendations or a particular course of action	To discuss, in depth, noting the implications for the Board or Trust without formally approving it	For the intelligence of the Board without in-depth discussion required	To assure the Board that effective systems of control are in place									

Link to CQC do	omain:										
✓ Safe	 Effective 	Caring	 Responsive 	✓ Well-led							
Link to strategic objective(s)	 PATIENT AND FAM to improve health SAFEST AND KIND received kind care HEALTHIEST HALF Choices' for all out 	EST Our patients and MILLION Working wit	vorking with our pat staff will tell us they h our partners to pro	feel safe and omote 'Healthy							
Link to Board Assurance Framework risk(s)	OUR PEOPLE Creat	OUR PEOPLE Creating a great place to work									
Equality Impact Assessment	Stage 2 recommer	egative impact identif Ided (negative impact ed for Board approva	identified and equa	lity impact							
Freedom of Information Act (2000) status	O This document inc	 This document is for full publication This document includes FOIA exempt information This whole document is exempt under the FOIA 									
Financial assessment	n/a										

Risk Register Level	Created Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Level	Residual Risk Priority	Action Required	Target Risk Priority
	29-Sep-20			Quality Priorities	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): A failure to monitor appropriate governance arrangements between organisations Effect(s) & Impact(s): A lack of delivery from external partners may lead to poor patient outcomes; increased patient harm; poor patient experience; and poor staff morale. A failure to manage patient flow and capacity, to develop new pathways.	Quality and Safety	I = 4 L = 4 High (16)	Quality Strategy in place. Clinical service structures, accountability & quality governance arrangements established at Trust, Care Group and Service levels. Control Owner: To be allocated	induction, mandatory		Programme with system and regulatory oversight group • Clinical audit programme & monitoring arrangements	 Unable to staff escalation wards with substantive staff Ward capacity at RSH and PRH 7-day working not in place throughout service Pre-noon discharge below NHS target 33% At times of high operational pressure, some patients can only be accommodated in contravention to Escalation Policy - ED workforce vacancies in consultant, middle grade and RN Available bed capacity with impact of social distancing 		I = 4 L = 4 High (16)	Review of Quality Governance and assurance Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020 Complete and embed all QIP requirements with independent assurance. Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020 Complete Action Plan for Independent Maternity Review emerging trends (Mar-20 Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020	I = 4 L = 2 Medium (8)
BAF	30-Sep-20		There is a risk of not meeting constitutional and National performance target Executive Lead: Chief Operating Officer	Quality Priorities	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): A failure to meet constitutional and National performance targets , eg ED waiting times, Cancer referrals, patient care and experience and referral to treat (RTT), may lead to sub-optimal care Effect(s) & Impact(s): Negative impact on quality indicators, financial penalties, regulatory action and damage to reputation and public confidence	Finance & Performance	I = 4 L = 4 High (16)	Governance structure: Standing Orders Assurance Committees ToR Internal/ external Audit Plan IPR report to Board Control Owner: To be allocated	services, overseen and monitored by Covid Committee	Maintain super stranded patient numbers at >200, remains better than regional average		Uncertain demand profile in 2020/21 due to Covid-19		I = 4 L = 4 High (16)	Winter planning - capacity funding envelop (SaTH/CCGs) Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020 Secure additional capacity (submission through STW STP) Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020 Maintain use of independent sector Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020	I = 4 L = 2 Medium (8)
BAF	30-Sep-20		Trust becomes financially unsustainable.	Plan (including Cost Improvement Programme)		Finance & Performance	I = 5 L = 4 High (20)	Annual plan agreed, including control total consideration; reduction of any potential underlying financial deficit Control Owner: To be allocated	• Delivery of budget holder training workshops and enhancements to	engagement conducted;	 Close working with STP partners and the Alliance framework to identify system- wide cost reductions External management support to deliver the FIP 	 2019/20 financial position adverse to plan CIP not fully identified Historic and on-going liquidity problem Underlying deficit Draft forecast outturn v's control total Potential for in year cash shortfall Agency and non-pay overspend 		I = 5 L = 4 High (20)	Pay and non pay controls to be reviewed Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Oct 2020 • External support for CIP financial advisory project • Accelerate action undertaken for existing CIP schemes Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020	I = 5 L = 2 Medium (10)

Risk Register Level	Created Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Level	Residual Risk Priority	Action Required	Target Risk Priority
	30-Sep-20		ability to recruit and	Quality Priorities	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): An inability to recruit and retain an appropriate workforce to meet the needs of the current and future patient base Effect(s) & Impact(s): May lead to the Trust breaching guidance, regulatory action being taken against the Trust; poorer patient outcomes and increased harm; and adverse publicity and/or reputational damage. Furthermore this may lead to the financial unsustainability of some services.	Workforce	I = 3 L = 5 High (15)	inform organisational approach to recruitment, retention and Education & Development of our staff. Control Owner: To be allocated	 Exec Talent Management Group Activity, Workforce and Financial plan processes (consultant job planning; workforce modelling; winter capacity plans) Vacancy management and recruitment systems and processes TRAC system for recruitment; e- Rostering systems and procedures used to plan staff utilisation Defined safe medical & nurse staffing levels for all wards and departments / Safe Staffing Standard Operating Procedure Temporary staffing approval and recruitment processes with defined authorisation levels 	procedures used to plan staff utilisation • Defined safe medical & nurse staffing levels for all wards and departments / Safe Staffing Standard Operating Procedure • Temporary staffing approval and recruitment processes with defined authorisation levels • Director of People attendance at People and Culture Board • Workforce planning for system workstream • Communications issued regarding HMRC taxation rules on pensions and provision of pensions advice • Pensions restructuring	Education partnerships	 High levels of escalation resulting in high use of agency staff Fragility of some services (ongoing) Trust reputation issues associated with Special Measures 		I = 3 L = 5 High (15)	 Engagement action plan to form part of the People Strategy (Sep-19) New revised Appraisal and Personal Development plan linked to Training Needs Analysis (Oct-19) Revision of Onboarding process to include new induction (Oct-19) Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020 	
BAF	30-Sep-20		current and future	Develop Hospitals Transformation Programme (HTP)	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): The ageing buildings, physical environment, associated infrastructure and inadequate backlog resources present a risk of services failing and impacting on the delivery of patient services. Effect(s) & Impact(s): There is a risk of the Trust breaching its conditions; regulatory action being taken against the Trust; poorer patient outcomes and/or patient harm; and adverse publicity and reputational damage.	Sustainability	I = 4 L = 4 High (16)	Resilience & Response (EPRR) arrangements at regional, Trust, Care Group and Service levels	 specific types of major incident (e.g. industrial action; fuel shortage; pandemic disease; powe failure; severe winter weather; evacuation; CBRNe) Major incident plan in place Monthly Estates Report to Board/Assurance Committee Independent Authorising 	Business Continuity, Emergency Planning & security policies Resilience Assurance Committee (RAC) oversight of EPRR • Additional capital allocation for	planning			I = 4 L = 4 High (16)	Site development plan to be approved. Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020	I = 4 L = 2 Medium (8)

Risk Register Level BAF	Created Date	Ref BAF 6	There is a risk of not providing robust Digital infrastructures and digita defences against cyber security. Also the ability of the Trust to provide and use reliable data (business intelligence),	Improve Performance Information Quality & I Timeliness	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): Unable to deliver excellent patient outcomes and maintain financial and operation sustainability due to a failure to develop and embed a robust Clinical IT Strategy. Effect(s) & Impact(s):	Assurance Committee Finance & Performance	Inherent Risk Priority	Risk Control Information Governance Assurance Framework (IGAF) Digital Strategy Control Owner:	Control Assurance (1st Line) • Digital Programme Project Group and work plan	 Major incident plan in place Network accounts checked after 50 days of inactivity – disabled after 80 days if not used Spam and malware email 		Gap(s) in Control / Assurance • NHS Digital Trust System Support Model (TSSM) team review - current infrastructure - PA infrastructure report - minimum requirements to ensure stable infrastructure	Overall Assurance Level	Residual Risk Priority	Action Required Complete Trust-wide Windows 10 upgrade Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020 Prioritisation & assessment of IT	Target Risk Priority I = 4 L = 2 Medium (8)
			making best use of technology is compromised Executive Lead: Director of Finance	Effect(s) & Impact(s): Potential to lead to inefficiencies financially and technically, causing further financial pressure on the Trust and the potential for patient harm. A failure to ensure appropriate investment in and application of digital defences to deter cyber- attacks may lead to patient harm; financial loss; and disruption and/o damage to the reputation of the Tru from the failure of information technology systems.	t				notifications circulated					projects currently in flight through to early stages of working up, in context of team capability and capacity Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020 Align Medical Records Strategy with EPR ambition Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020		
BAF	30-Sep-20		There is a risk of not being able to keep pace and direction with transformational service redesign Executive Lead: Director of Strategy & Planning	Programme (HTP)	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): A failure to provide future-proof and fit-for-purpose excellent patient outcomes and achieve financial and operational stability through the lack of direction and control Effect(s) & Impact(s): That could potentially result in patient harm and reputational damage due to incorrect results, lack of services and significant delays.		I = 4 L = 4 High (16)	Transformation Plan • Programme resources in place • HTP timeline for delivery revised and agreed • Project governance revised and agreed • Draft SOC submitted Control Owner:	 Appointment of Director of Transformation & Strategy and Associate Director of Transformation Performance Reporting to Assurance and Management Committees 	supporting HTP in Programme Director role • Appointment of Director of Transformation & Strategy and Associate Director of Transformation • Draft SOC submitted	 STP wide Independent Oversight Group (IOG) established to oversee delivery of the acute (HTP) and community programmes NHS Transformation Unit supporting HTP in Programme Director role Overview, Scrutiny from NHSE/I Partnership agreement with BUH 	Awaiting further feedback/development on SOC		I = 4 L = 4 High (16)	Review options including inflation costs and scope Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020 Review options for multi-story car parking and Energy Centre Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020	

Risk Register Level	Created Date	e Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Level	Residual Risk Priority	Action Required	Target Risk Priority
	30-Sep-20		adequately meeting CQC	Quality Priorities	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): Non -compliance in achieving Trust strategic objectives due to failing to consistently meet the requirements of the CQC Health & Social Care regulations or other national standards. Effect(s) & Impact(s): May lead to regulatory action being taken against the Trust, compromising patient care and reputational damage.	Quality and Safety	I = 4 L = 5 High (20)	governance arrangements at Trust, Care Group & Service levels	induction, mandatory training, registration & re-validation	Committee with work programme aligned to CQC registration regulations	Clinical audit programme & monitoring arrangements	 Ward capacity at RSH and PRH At times of high operational pressure, some patients can only be accommodated in contravention to Escalation Policy • ED workforce vacancies in consultant, middle grade and RN Available bed capacity with impact of social distancing 		I = 4 L = 5 High (20)	Structured oversight/review of s29 and s31 reporting ownership and responsibilities via PMO Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020 Working with NHSI Improvement Director & Execs to strengthen QIP and PMO approach and refresh of QIP Governance arrangements. Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020 Developing a case and sourcing additional nursing resource and expertise to support at corporate and operational level to drive improvements at pace. Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020	
BAF	30-Sep-20			Services (Covid-19)	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): Potential 2nd wave of the virus and the known impact of winter pressures Effect(s) & Impact(s): Continues to have significant impact on the delivery of all strategic aims	COVID Assurance	I = 5 L = 4 High (20)	operational and strategic levels: • Covid Committee	 Infection control annual plan 	5	Public communications re: norovirus and infectious diseases			I = 5 L = 4 High (20)	Whole system capacity and demand forecasting Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020 Heightened security protocols with Communications plan regarding potential for fraud to be assured Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020	Medium (10)
															System (Local Health Resilience Partnership) has continued to develop – risk now moving to: - Staff testing - Care homes - Community capacity planning Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020	
															Estate capacity submission to NHSIE re: RSH ED/SDEC, Modular ward capacity, Mobile diagnostic unit(s). Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020	

Register		e Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Level	Residual Risk Priority	Action Required	Target Risk Priority
Level	02-Oct-20	BAE 10	There is a risk around	Improve Service	Hazard(s) / Cause(s):	Sustainability	- 1 - 3	Communication with NHS.	Workforce updates flag potential	Procurement team closely		Uncertainty around		I = 4 L = 3	Action 1	I = 4 L = 2
DAI	02-061-20		the uncertainty of Brexit		Potential for UK to leave EU without	•				monitoring and flagging any		emerging 'no deal' scenario		Medium (12)		Medium (8)
					a deal			to:		changes in national position.					Person Responsible: To be allocated	
			Executive Lead: Chief Operating Officer		Effect(s) & Impact(s):			Workforce Medicines							To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020	
			Operating Onicer		Disruption to procurement and HR			Equipment								