

Board of Directors' Meeting 6 May 2021

Agenda item	106/21			
Report	Board Assurance Framework (Q4	4)		
Executive Lead	Director of Governance and Com	muni	cations	
	Link to strategic pillar:		Link to CQC dom	ain:
	Our patients and community	V	Safe	
	Our people	V	Effective	
	Our service delivery	V	Caring	
	Our partners		Responsive	
	Our governance		Well Led	$\sqrt{}$
	Report recommendations:		Link to BAF / risk	:
	For assurance		All BAF risks	
	For decision / approval	$\sqrt{}$	Link to risk regist	er:
	For review / discussion			
	For noting			
	For information			
	For consent			
Presented to:	Audit and Risk Assurance Comm	ittee	12 April 2021	
Dependent upon (if applicable):				
Executive summary:	The Audit and Risk Assurance Combat and recommend approval by this will be the final presentation. A Board Seminar was held on 15 proposed amendments to the BA based upon the Trust's strategic provided in section 2 of this report. The Board is asked to: approve the 2020/2021 Q4 the outturn of the 2020/21 E approve the 2021/22 risk deconsider, and if appropriate descriptors Risk 10 and Risk	y the of the of the April of Frisk pillars of the April o	Board, also recognisi 2020/21 BAF to Board, and considered descriptors for 2021, and goals. Details a cors Risk 1 – Risk 9 oprove the draft risk	ng that rd. d /22, are
	Appendix A - summary from the Appendix B – full BAF for Q4, and			

1.0 Board Assurance Framework, 2020/21

- 1.1 As board members / members of the Committee will be aware, the BAF was drawn up by Kevin Street, former Risk Management Consultant, during the second quarter of 2020 and used a generic format and content.
- 1.2 The various BAF risks have been presented to the board assurance committees on a monthly basis, with updates fed back through the executive lead, in order that details can be updated.
- 1.3 The 'full' version of the BAF for Q4 was considered by the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee on 12 April 2021 and highlighted a number of outstanding 'actions' that showed that completion was due by 31 March 2021. These actions have been reviewed by the executive directors and considered whether the action:
 - 1. Has been completed;
 - 2. Remains outstanding and to be taken forward to 2021/22 BAF;
 - 3. Remains outstanding, but will now instead be tracked through an alternate route. Not to be taken forward on the BAF:
 - 4. Remains outstanding, but no longer relevant, and should not be taken forward to 2021/22 BAF.
- 1.4 The outcome of that work is shown on the current 'full' version, and will support the 2021/2022 BAF formulation.
- 1.5 As the document is presented at the end of Q4, this is also the final BAF for 2020/21.
- 1.6 A summary of the end of year risk scores from the BAF is provided at appendix A, with a copy of the 'full' BAF appearing at appendix B.

2.0 Board Assurance Framework, 2021/22

- 2.1 When referring to the BAF, we must remember that the Board Assurance Framework consists of more than the document which has been provided with this report, i.e. it is more than a risk register. The Board Assurance Framework includes all the processes and systems that the Board has in place (such as committees, corporate documentation, etc.) to ensure that Board is able to carry out its required duties of formulating strategy, ensuring accountability, and shaping culture¹.
- 2.2 However, for this report we are looking at the BAF 'risk document' that is attached herewith.
- 2.3 This risk document highlights the strategic risks that may affect delivery of the organisation's objectives. Operational risks appear on the operational risk register, not on the BAF². As the risks are strategic, it is unlikely that they will change on a monthly basis. For that reason, the BAF 'risk document' risks which are aligned to our board committees, will be presented to the board committees on a quarterly basis. Thereafter, the 'full' BAF 'risk document' risks will be presented to the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee, before being brought to the Board on a quarterly basis. The Board will be asked to approve any proposed amendments to the BAF risks, the quarterly scoring, etc.

¹ NHS Leadership Academy, The Healthy NHS Board 2013 Principles for Good Governance.

² However, it is possible, although rare, that an operational risk could become a strategic risk.

- At a board seminar held on 15 April 2021, the 2021/22 BAF was discussed and a number of proposals made with regard to refreshing the risk descriptors so that they more reflected the organisation's strategic risk. The descriptors were drawn up respecting up to date best practice. In addition, content of those Trusts deemed outstanding by the CQC, were also reviewed. All directors were provided with the opportunity to provide feedback during and after the seminar.
- 2.5 With consideration of the existing, generic risk descriptors, a list of new risk descriptors were considered:
- Risk 1: Poor standards of safety and quality of patient care across the Trust results in incidents of avoidable harm and / or poor clinical outcomes;
- Risk 2: The Trust is unable to consistently embed a safety culture with evidence of continuous quality improvement and patient experience;
- Risk 3: The Trust is unable to attract, develop and / or retain its workforce to delivery outstanding services;
- Risk 4: A shortage of workforce capacity and capability leads to deterioration of staff experience, morale and well-being;
- Risk 5: The Trust does not operate within its available resources;
- Risk 6: Some parts of the Trust's estates infrastructure, buildings and environment may not be fit for purpose;
- Risk 7: The inability to develop, maintain or replace digital systems, impacts upon security, functionality and deliver of patient care;
- Risk 8: The Trust cannot fully and consistently meet statutory and / or regulatory healthcare standards; and
- Risk 9: The Trust is unable to restore and recover services post-Covid to meet the needs of the community / service-users.
- 2.6 The statements all appear to be negative. However, it is important to remember that they describe the risk, not the actual state of affairs.
- 2.7 The issue of maternity care / Ockenden was also discussed in some detail, and particularly whether this should have its own strategic risk. Discussions focussed on clarity as to what the actual strategic risk was. (That is not to say that risk is not acknowledged.)
 - Is the risk relating to the occurrence of avoidable harm and poor clinical outcomes? Or is it relating to poor standards of safety and quality of care? (See risk 1 above).
 - Is the risk relating to a perceived lack of an embedded safety culture in 2021? Or is it relating to a lack of evidence of continuous improvement and patient experience? (See risk 2 above.)

- Is the risk relating to the ability to recruit and develop workforce capacity to deliver the service? Or, is it due to the potential effect that lack of capability and capacity has on the workforce? (See risk 3 and 4 above.)
- Or, is the risk relating to the ability to consistently meet statutory and / or healthcare standards? (See risk 8 above).
- 2.8 The strategic risks highlighted in section 2.7 could all be applied to the findings of the first Ockenden Report, which have also been highlighted by other stakeholders. But they could also be applicable to other areas of the Trust. For that reason, it was considered by the majority, that the BAF would not include a separate strategic risk associated only with maternity care / Ockenden.
- 2.9 However, one area that is not covered, and which was highlighted during discussions, and which has been raised by various stakeholders, is the culture within the Trust.
- 2.10 With this in mind, and recognising that an extensive cultural programme is already in place, it is proposed that an additional strategic risk be added, akin to:
- Risk 10: If staff do not observe Trust values and cultural expectations, poor quality care and services are likely to result.
- 2.11 Discussion also took into account the change of status of the Shropshire, Telford and Wrekin Sustainability Transformation Partnership (STP), to becoming an Integrated Care System (ICS) as from 1 April 2021. The Board recognised the strategic risk, should the Trust not be able to work collaboratively to enable the ICS to provide sustainable, transformational services which align with national policy. It was agreed that a further organisational strategic risk should be added, akin to:
- Risk 11: The organisation will not benefit from ICS service transformation without the appropriate in-house skills, resources, and capacity to enable the required levels of engagement to support change at pace.

3.0 Conclusion

- 3.1 The Board is asked to follow the recommendation of the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee and approve the 2020/2021 Q4 BAF, recognising that this will be the outturn of the 2020/21 BAF.
- 3.2 In order to proceed with the 2021/22 arrangements, the Board is asked to approve the 2021/22 risk descriptors Risk 1 Risk 9 as previously discussed.
- 3.3. The Board is asked to consider, and if appropriate, to approve the draft risk descriptors Risk 10 and Risk 11.

Anna Milanec
Director of Governance and Communications
April 2021

Appendix A

				1 1	CHAIX A
Link to SaTH Strategic Goals	BAF	Risk title	Risk Owner	Oversight Committee	Y/e residual risk score
We deliver safe and excellent care, first time, every time	B1	There is a risk of prolonged and/or substantial failure to deliver standards of nursing care.	DoN	QSAC	4x3 = 12
Our services are efficient, effective, sustainable and deliver value for money	B2	There is a risk of not achieving constitutional and national performance targets.	coo	QSAC	4x4 = 16
We are a learning organisation that sets ambitious goals and targets, operates in an open and transparent way and delivers what is promised	В3	Financial sustainability: Deliver the Trust control total for 2021/22 of £[]m (to be agreed) while improving the underlying position.	DoF	FPAC	5x4 = 20
Our staff are highly skilled, motivated, engaged and 'live our values' SaTH is recognised as a great place to work	В4	There is a risk of risk of not recruiting or retaining staff.	DoW	QSAC	3x4 = 12
We deliver our services utilising safe, high quality estate and up to date digital systems and infrastructure	В5	There is a risk that the current and future estates, infrastructure and equipment does not comply with national specifications, meet service needs and/or service user needs.	DCS	FPAC	5x3 = 15
We deliver our services utilising safe, high quality estate and up to date digital systems and infrastructure	В6	There is a risk of not providing robust digital infrastructures and defences against cyber security. Also the ability of the Trust to provide and use reliable data (business intelligence), making best use of technology is compromised.	DoF	FPAC	4x3 = 12
We have outstanding relationships with our partners and collectively strive to improve the quality and integration of health and care services	В7	There is a risk of not delivering expected and planned transformational service redesign.	DS&T	FPAC	4x3=12
We are a learning organisation that sets ambitious goals and targets, operates in an open and transparent way and delivers what is promised	B8	There is a risk of not adequately meeting CQC Health & Social Care regulations.	DoN	QSAC	4x4 = 16
Our services are efficient, effective, sustainable and deliver value for money	В9	There is a risk that the impact of COVID-19 continues to affect the Trust's quality outcomes and targets	MD	QSAC	5x3 = 15
Our services are efficient, effective, sustainable and deliver value for money	B10	There is a risk around the uncertainty of Brexit.	COO		4x2 = 8
We deliver safe and excellent care, first time, every time	B11	There is a risk of prolonged and/or substantial failure to deliver standards of maternity care.	DoN	QSAC	3x5 = 15

Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
29/09/: 020		There is a risk of substantial failure to deliver standards of nursing care. Executive Lead: Hayley Flavell Operational Lead: Kara Blackwell Last Updated: 22 Apr 2021	We deliver safe and excellent care, first time, every time	Inconsistencies in care relating to: •Safeguarding Patients •Falls Prevention and Management •Infection Prevention and Control •Tissue Viability •Nutrition and Hydration •Patient Experience	Quality and Safety	I = 4 L = 4 16	I = 4 L = 3 12	all staff outlines required standards of practice and care,	Monthly Matrons Nursing Quality Assurance Audits Ward Dashboard linked to the Exemplar Programme	Divisional PRMs and Trust level IPR at QSAC and Board		Visibility of ward level detail	Limited Assurance Date: 17 Dec 2020 Assurance By: Tony Holt	to deliver the 3 year Quality Strategy Person Responsible: Hayley Flavell	Quality Strategy presented at QSAC and Board Getting Yr 2 Plan on a Page completed	I = 4 L = 2 8
				•End of Life Care •Vulnerable Patients Effect(s) & Impact(s): The Trust may not meet these standards due to: •Clarity of standards and frameworks especially where practice may be different across sites •Incomplete training and competencies				and practice for nursing care in each specialist area.	place chaired	Weekly and monthly audits as part of Section 31 Conditions signed off by DoN	Clinical audit programme & monitoring arrangements	Group to agree approve and oversee policies, procedure and SOPs	Adequate Assurance Date: 21 Jan 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Quality Operational Committee (QOC) - standardisation of reports Person Responsible: Hayley Flavell To be implemented by: 30 Jun 2021		
	BAF 1			Inconsistencies in governance arrangements Inability to recruit and retain the right numbers and skill mix of nursing staff Individual substandard practice of registered health professionals				routinely monitor standards of care. Control Owner: Kara Blackwell	Monthly DoN Nursing Quality Assurance Meeting with HoN, Matron and Ward manager to review compliance with Nursing and Quality KPIs	the Non-executive Director, receives monthly assurance reports from Assurance Committees responsible for the following areas:	Integrated Performance Review to Board and monthly IPC report Ward Dashboard which triangulate all ward quality metrics, training and workforce data		Adequate Assurance Date: 05 Mar 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Standard Operating Procedures and review all ward templates Person Responsible: Clair Hobbs	22 Apr 2021 CH: Monitored at startegic Nursing, Midwifery & AHP Workforce Group. AAA report is a standing item at QSAC	
										(reports Quarterly) Infection Prevention and Control Assurance Committee Tissue Viability via the IPR and Quality Report Nutrition and Hydration Patient Experience /Complaints reports Quarterly				Establishment of Vulnerable patient Group Person Responsible: Kara Blackwell To be implemented by: 30 Sep 2021 Review of interim posts to ascertain whether BC is required Person Responsible: Kara		
										End of Life Care Steering Group monthly Highlight Report Section 31 and 29A Report includes update on patients who have required restrictive intervention, MCA/DoLs and Nursing Quality Audits				Blackwell To be implemented by: 30 Sep 2021 Standardisation of information from Ward to Board Person Responsible: Hayley Flavell To be implemented by: 30 Sep	09 Apr 2021 HF: Review of QOC reports and clinical dashboard completed	
														Development of Specialty area dashboards (eg ED, ITU, Paeds) Person Responsible: Kara Blackwell To be implemented by: 30 Sep 2021		

Board Assurance Framework 20/21 Appendix B

Create		Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic	Cause & Effect	Assurance	Inherent	Residual	Risk Control	Control	Control	Control	Gap(s) in	Overall	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk
d Date			Objective		Committee	Risk Priority	Risk Priority		Assurance (1st	Assurance (2nd	Assurance (3rd	Control /	Assurance	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Priority
									Line) `	Line) `	Line) `	Assurance	Assurance			
													Level			
			_													
									Nursing Incident	• Incidents	CQRM and Board	Variation in	Adequate	Establish Group to oversee		
									Quality Assurance	reviewed via PRM	oversight	reports from		clinical guidelines and SOPs		
									Meeting (NIQAM)	and QOC		Divisions	Assurance	Danier Branco State Acces		
								concerns and report issues.		Nursing Incident			Date: 05 Mar	Person Responsible: Anna		
								Control Owner: Kath Preece		Quality Assurance and Management			2021	Milanec To be implemented by: 30 Sep		
								Control Owner. Kath Freece		Group (NIQAM)			Assurance By:			
										review all incidents			Tony Holt	2021		
										that may result in			Tony Tion			
										severe (reportable)						
	BAF 1									harm, quality						
										assuring						
										investigation						
										reports, identifying						
										and sharing						
										lessons, escalation						
										if required,						
										ensuring the						
										contractual						
										requirements in						
										relation to reports						
										to the						
										Commissioners are met.						
										Pressure Ulcer						
										RCA meeting for						
										all category 2 and						
										above hospital						
										acquired pressure						
										ulcers (excludes						
										those that meet						
										threshold for SI						
										which go to						
										NIQAM)						
										 Falls Steering 						
										Group monthly						
1					I											

Crea d Da	e Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
								Teams that support ongoing monitoring, scrutiny and improvement of standards of care. Control Owner: Kara Blackwell	Nursing Quality Assurance Meeting with HoN, Matron and Ward manager to review compliance with Nursing and Quality KPIs	Programme • CQC meeting with ED around all Section 31 notices Section 29A warning notices discussed at monthly EOCL Steering Group and Safeguarding Operational Groups. • CQC Safeguarding Action Plan Update presented	29A Weekly/monthly reporting to CQC, CCG, NHSI/E Monthly reporting to SOAG and CIC	relation to ongoing resourcing of posts currently not substantive. Vulnerable	Adequate Assurance Date: 05 Mar 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt			
								Ward level quality dashboards that provide real time data in	Weekly Rapid	Quarterly at Safeguarding Committee. Section 31 report monthly to QOC attended by Divisions outlines performance against all conditions • CQRM monthly	QOC, QSAC	Dashboards for speciality	Adequate			
	BAF 1							relation to standards of care. Control Owner: Kara Blackwell	reviews all incidents (moderate or above) and complaints and a weekly activity report presented at RALIG chaired by Medical Director/DoN	and workforce metrics, monthly assurance meetings chaired by DON to challenge performance and provide support Exemplar Programme		ED, ITU and Paeds being developed	Assurance Date: 05 Mar 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt			
								Control Owner: Clair Hobbs	clinical teams Daily meeting chaired by D-Don	Strategic Non- Medical Workforce Meeting chaired by DoN Safe staffing report submitted annually to Public Board meeting and QSAC		Divisional dashboard in development Q2 21/22 Inability to staff escalation wards with substantive staff	Assurance Date: 05 Mar 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt			

Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Append Target Risk Priority
30/09/2 020		There is a risk of not achieving constitutional and national performance targets. Executive Lead: Nigel Lee Operational Lead: Sara Biffen Last Updated: 15 Apr 2021	We are a learning organisation that sets ambitious goals and targets, operates in an open and transparent way and delivers what is	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): A failure to meet constitutional and National performance targets, eg EUC waiting times, Referral to Treatment (RTT) times for elective and cancer diagnostic waiting times. Effect(s) & Impact(s): May lead to sub-optimal care, financial penalties, regulatory action and	Finance & Performance	I = 4 L = 5 20	I = 4 L = 4 16	Cancer performance management Control Owner: Nigel Lee	MDT-level management and monitoring Monthly reporting to Care Group Committees	Cancer performance	West Mids Cancer Alliance Reviews NHSI reviews	Workforce challenges in Urology, H&N and Radiology Covid impact on capacity and staff	Substantial Assurance Date: 15 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Boyode To be implemented by: 30 Sep	NL: Yr 2 of international recruitment programme to be signed off at system level for: Radiology staffing Theatre staffing (raised with Suzy Cook) Monitored at OPG	I = 4 L = 2 8
			promised	damage to reputation and negative impact on public confidence.				as part of 21/22 plan	Specialty level capacity and demand plans Weekly/monthly monitoring of capacity/demand	plan including revisions for Covid	STW system capacity and demand planning NHSI UEC reviews	Capacity shortfall to deliver UEC and elective demand	Limited Assurance Date: 15 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Delivery of 1 x new CT scanner and 1 x MRI scanner	15 Apr 2021	
	BAF 2							Control Owner: Nigel Lee	Departmental and Care group monitoring of: RTT Imaging Endoscopy Weekely Exec briefing	 Standing report to FPAC 	Group oversight	Covid impact on activity and workforce Workforce (Theatres/Radio logy) Clinical prioritisation and potential harm	Limited Assurance Date: 15 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt		NL: new equipment and capital works on track. Seek to resolve workforce issues through wider international recruitment project.	
								Control Owner: Nigel Lee	quality management by Emergency Centre/Care Group • Site safety process	reported to QSAC • SDEC project	Group and Board • NHSI reviews of SaTH/STW STP	Covid demand and impact Workforce constraints across ED/acute/medic ine Variance in demand System capacity for admission avoidance	Limited Assurance Date: 15 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	20/21 including options for: • permanent capacity • insource	15 Apr 2021 Detail BCs for permanent capacity resubmitted to ICS/STP Board for support.	

Board Assurance Framework 20/21 Appendix B

odiu <i>F</i>	ASSULATICE FI	amework 20/21														Appe
Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
															NL: Contract signed and implemented during Q4 20/21	
														Patient flow improvement	15 Apr 2021	-
														Person Responsible: Sara Biffen To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2021	NL: Patient flow Yr1 objectives met	
														Deliver ED Quality	15 Apr 2021	
														Person Responsible: Carol McInnes To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2021	NL: Evidence and rationale for closure against 11xCQC conditions relating to ED for consideration, expected to be concluded end of May-21	
														Clinical prioritisation of waiting	15 Apr 2021	
	BAF 2													lists Person Responsible: Mark	NL: Continues as a live action but formally complete for Q3/4.	
														2020/21 recovery plan	15 Apr 2021	-
														Person Responsible: Sara Biffen To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2021		
														SDEC development	15 Apr 2021	
														Person Responsible: Carol McInnes To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2021	NL: Estates development complete. Workforce development ongoing. 'How we're doing' monitored at ED Improvement Committee	
														Person Responsible: Sara Biffen To be implemented by: 31 Mar	NI : 05% complete	

Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
30/09/2 020		Deliver the Trust control total for 2021/22 of £[]m (to be agreed) while improving the underlying position. Executive Lead: James Drury Operational Lead: Paul Corlass Last Updated: 20 Apr	money	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): A failure to maintain financial sustainability due to non-planned cost pressures Effect(s) & Impact(s): Potential external action being taken; damage to the Trust's reputation and the Trust's continuing abilities to	Finance & Performance	I = 5 L = 5 25	I = 5 L = 4 20	Performance management of Division off track Tight management of capital and working capital Agreement with NHSE/I of realistic and achievable financal plan and trajectory Development and implementation of training programmes on financial	service level Divisional Performance Management meetings IIC, SLC-T, SLC-O approval process F&P Assurance Committee Finance report and related papers		System Financial Recovery Plan	●Re-establish Pay/Non-Pay review groups (Q4 20/21) ●CIP identification (Q1 21/22) ●Approval of overseas nurse recruitment business case ●System	Limited Assurance Date: 20 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Person Responsible: Nigel Lee To be implemented by: 28 May 2021	20 Apr 2021 HT: Draft system operating plan to be finalised by 6 May	l = 5 L = 3 15
		2021		function; and the imposition of regulatory controls leading to the loss of local control.				Increase capacity to deliver major change projects Delivering benefits from UEC investment Medium to Long Term Plan Recovery Plan Recovery and restoration of Elective programme	Integrated Performance Report including Finance Exception Report 21/22 budget setting and operational plan process Pay and non -pay review groups			Financial Recovery Plan/Transform ation •Effective performance management •21/22 Control Total agreement and 3-5 year trajectory		efficiency/major change projects Person Responsible: Chris Preston To be implemented by: 30 Jun 2021	20 Apr 2021 HT: Risk based approach to focus on biggest/best returns 20 Apr 2021	
												●Financial training programme ●Capacity assessmePlan ●COVID-19 De- escalation Plan		Drury To be implemented by: 31 Jul 2021	HT: Incorporated into Efficiency Programme led by COO and DoF supported by respective deputies. Cross cutting themes to be identified and overall plan agreed by 31 July-21	
	BAF 3													System Financial Recovery Plan Person Responsible: James Drury To be implemented by: 30 Sep 2021		
														Control Total Agreement	HT: Covid financial regime rolls over to end of Q2 20 Apr 2021	
														To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2021	Implemented 20 Apr 2021	
														Person Responsible: James Drury To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2021	Implemented	
														Establish Pay/Non-Pay Review Groups Person Responsible: James Drury To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2021	20 Apr 2021 HT: Draft budgets by Jun- 21	

Boar	a Assurance F	ramework 20/21														Append
Crea d Da		Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
30/05	BAF 4	There is a risk of risk of not recruiting or retaining staff. Executive Lead: Rhia Boyode Operational Lead: To be allocated Last updated: 22 Apr 2021	and continuousl y improving teams work together to support and enable the delivery of high quality		Quality and Safety	I = 3 L = 5 15	I = 3 L = 4 12	to recruitment, retention and Education & Development of our staff. Control Owner: Rhia Boyode	Medical and Nursing task force Workforce Planning Group Exec Talent Management Group Activity, Workforce and Financial plan processes (consultant job planning; workforce modelling; winter capacity plans) Vacancy management and recruitment systems and processes TRAC system for recruitment; e-Rostering systems and procedures used to plan staff utilisation Defined safe medical & nurse staffing levels for all wards and departments / Safe Staffing Standard Operating Procedure Temporary staffing approval and recruitment processes with defined authorisation levels	Defined safe medical & nurse staffing levels for all wards and departments / Safe Staffing Standard Operating Procedure Temporary staffing approval and recruitment processes with defined authorisation levels Director of People attendance at People and Culture Board Workforce planning for system workstream Communications issued regarding HMRC taxation rules on pensions and provision of pensions advice Pensions restructuring payment introduced Pensions tax education and information	Local/Regional/Nati onal Education partnerships	High levels of escalation resulting in high use of agency staff Fragility of some services (ongoing) Trust reputation issues associated with Special Measures	Limited Assurance Date: 20 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Recruitment & retention strategy subsumed into People Strategy Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 30 Dec 2020	RB: Programme revised to include Health & Wellbeing elements into appraisals. Delayed due to Covid, to be relaunched May-21 RB: Signed off by Board Nov-20 Monitored at QSAC and Board 22 Apr 2021 RB: Launch of onboarding team completed to improve induction and exit process	I = 3 L = 2 6

Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
30/09/2 020		There is a risk that the current and future estates, infrastructure and equipment does not comply with national specifications, meet service needs and/or service user needs. Executive Lead: Julia Clarke Operational Lead: Will Nabih	We deliver our services utilising safe, high quality estate and up to date digital systems and infrastructure	()	Finance & Performance	I = 5 L = 4 20	I = 5 L = 3 15	Board-approved fully funded Capital Programme including backlog maintenance plan and medical equipment budget in place. Control Owner: Will Nabih	Capital plan developed and overseen by CPG	Approval through SLC and Trust Board	Internal Audit oversight and reporting through ARAC	Capital available against ageing estate	Adequate Assurance Date: 12 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Development of Capital Plan for 21/22 - to be presented to May Trust Board Person Responsible: James Drury To be implemented by: 28 May 2021		I = 5 L = 2 10
		Last Updated: 13 Apr 2021		Trust breaching its conditions; regulatory action being taken against the Trust; poorer patient outcomes and/or patient harm; and adverse publicity and reputational damage.				Estates Plan 2015-2025 in place (with interim plan for 2021) Control Owner: Will Nabih Programme of planned	Monthly Estates report to SLC-O Independent Authorising Engineers (APs) recruited MICAD system	Additional capital allocation for backlog maintenance secured Quarterly report on all aspects to F&P from April 21 Progress reported	six facet survey Sign off of Ward (PRH) and SDEC (RSH) Weekly report to NHSI on major schemes	Lack of clinical service vision Clear alignment with HTP and potential to expedite schemes Adequate	Adequate Assurance Date: 12 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	for 21/22 Person Responsible: Julia Clarke To be implemented by: 31 Jul	JC- to be presented to July Trust Board following finalisation of Capital Plan and Service Delivery priorities	
								preventative maintenance (PPM) in place Control Owner: Will Nabih		monthly to Director of Corporate Services		number of Competent Engineers (CPs) to undertake PPM	Assurance Date: 12 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Agreement to appoint into establishment based on concomitant reduction in external contractors costs and CIP contribution. Person Responsible: Will Nabih To be implemented by: 30 Sep 2021	12 Apr 2021 JC - recruitment underway	

		ramework 20/21														Appen
Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st	Control Assurance (2nd	Control Assurance (3rd	Gap(s) in Control /	Overall Assurance	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
									Line)	Line)	Line)	Assurance	Assurance			
													Level			
30/09/2		There is a risk of not	We deliver	Hazard(s) / Cause(s):	Finance &	I = 4 L = 4	I = 4 L = 3	Information Governance	 Cyber security 	 CARECERT 	 Periodic phishing 	All due	Adequate	Align Medical Records Strategy	23 Apr 2021	I = 4 L = 2
020		providing robust digital	our	Unable to deliver	Performance	16	12	Assurance Framework (IGAF)	tools procured to	updates	exercises carried	diligence		with EPR ambition		8
		infrastructures and	services	excellent patient				Digital Strategy	support access	Major incident	out by MIAA	reviews	Assurance	Davisas Baaras iblas Babasas		
		defences against cyber security. Also the ability	utilising safe, high	outcomes and maintain financial and operation				Infrastructure Review Cyber security review	management, asset	plan in place Network	 Dionach assessments 	complete, recommendatio	Date: 23 Apr	Person Responsible: Rebecca Gallimore	RG: Ashley May, CCIO,	
		of the Trust to provide	quality	sustainability due to a				Cyber security review	management,	accounts checked	Due diligence	ns being	2021		now chair of the Medical	
		and use reliable data		failure to develop and					security	after 50 days of	reivews	implemented	Assurance By:	2022	Records Committee,	
		(business intelligence),	up to date	embed a robust Clinical					compliance, single	inactivity -	(infrastructure,		Tony Holt		strategy alignment in	
		making best use of	digital	IT Strategy.				Control Owner: Rebecca	sign on	disabled after 80	network, data				progress	
		technology is compromised.	systems and	Effect(s) & Impact(s):				Gallimore	 Additional security staff 	days if not used Spam and	warehouse, Windows 10)					
		compromised.	infrastructu						training	malware email	Audit review of					
		Executive Lead: James	е	inefficiencies financially					Digital	notifications	DSPT					
		Drury		and technically, causing					Programme Board	circulated					23 Apr 2021	
		Operational Lead:		further financial					 Additional data 	 Password and 				10 upgrade	50 147 1 10 1	
		Rebecca Gallimore		pressure on the Trust					warehouse	access policy				Person Responsible: Rebecca	RG: Windows 10 roadmap and rollout in progress with	
		Last Updated: 23 Apr 2021		and the potential for patient harm.					technical support from MLCSU to	updates				Gallimore	all Divisions	
		2021		pation nami.					drive data					To be implemented by: 31 Mar	an Biviolono	
				A failure to ensure					automation and					2022		
				appropriate investment					reporting							
				in and application of												
				digital defences to deter cyber-attacks may lead										Implementation of procured	23 Apr 2021	1
				to patient harm;										security applications and tools		
	BAF 6			financial loss; and												
				disruption and/or										Person Responsible: Rebecca Gallimore		
				damage to the										To be implemented by: 31 Mar		
				reputation of the Trust from the failure of					<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		2022	DC: Funding accuration	
				information technology											RG: Funding secured in March 20/21.	
				systems.											implementation underway.	
				,											piooa.io andornay.	
														Prioritisation & assessment of	23 Apr 2021	
														IT projects currently in flight		
														through to early stages of	RG: Trust digital priorities	
														working up, in context of team	agreed, Roadmap	
														capability and capacity	approved and resource	
1														Person Responsible: Rebecca	implications under review. Divisional Digital	
														Gallimore	Roadmaps in progress	
1														To be implemented by: 31 Dec	nodumapo in progress	
1														2020		
1																

Doa.	a / issurance i i	ramework 20/21														Append
Crea d Da		Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
30/09		There is a risk of not delivering expected and planned transformational service redesign (HTP). Executive Lead: Chris Preston Operational Lead: To be allocated Last Updated: 15 Apr 2021	We work closely with our patients and communities to develop new models of care that will transform our services		Finance & Performance	I = 5 L = 5 25	I = 4 L = 3 12	revised and agreed • Project governance revised and agreed Control Owner: Chris Preston	Appointment of Director of Transformation & Strategy and Associate Director of Transformation Performance Reporting to Assurance and Management Committees	Director of Transformation & Senior team established New HTP Programme Board Acceleration of HTP clinical pathway development	Oversight Group (IOG) established to oversee delivery of the acute (HTP) and community programmes • NHS Transformation Unit supporting HTP in Programme Director role • Overview,	Draft SOC not approved Continued commitment to deliver main elements of Future Fit Funding to be sourced regionally - not on national programme Reengagement with MPs and stakeholders and associated communication s to be reestablished	Limited Assurance Date: 15 Apr 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	inflation costs and scope	15 Apr 2021 CP - Independent review undertaken Jan-21	I = 5 L = 3 15

		ramework 20/21		0 0 5"				D: 1 0 / 1								Appen
Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
30/09/2 020		There is a risk of not adequately meeting CQC Health & Social Care regulations. Executive Lead: Hayley Flavell Operational Lead: Kara Blackwell Last Updated: 09 Apr 2021	and continuousl y improving teams work together to support and enable the delivery of high quality		Quality and Safety	I = 4 L = 5 20	I = 4 L = 4 16	Clinical and Corporate service accountability in place Control Owner: Hayley Flavell	Programme • Weekly sisters meetings with DoN • Monthly Matron's meeting • Confirm & Challenge meeting	QOC QSAC Board of Directors meeting RALIG NIQAM Rapid Review process Patient Experience Group Mortality Group Deteriorating Patient Group IPC Committee Safeguarding Assurance Committee	CQRM		Adequate Assurance Date: 05 Mar 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	section 31 conditions to CQC with a view to having them lifted. Person Responsible: Hayley	HF: Provided evidence and rationale to CQC to remove 11x s31 conditions	I = 4 L = 2 8
	BAF 8							Increased engagement and wider system oversight. Control Owner: Hayley Flavell	Leadership meeting	QOC QSAC Board of Directors meeting Getting to Good programme	SOAG CQRM CQC engagement Comittees in Commonfrom Improvement Alliance		Adequate Assurance Date: 05 Mar 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Person Responsible: Hayley Flavell To be implemented by: 30 Sep 2021 Ensure QOC has oversight of key themes and programmes and work. Person Responsible: Kara Blackwell To be implemented by: 30 Sep 2021		
														Standardising Divisional Governance meetings Person Responsible: Anna Milanec To be implemented by: 30 Sep 2021 Structured oversight/review of		
							Strengthened Corporate Governance Structure. Control Owner: Anna Milanec	Divisional and specialty governance meetings	QOC QSAC Board of Directors		Standardised work programmes and information still requires development		service of			

boaru .	Assurance Fi	ramework 20/21														Append
Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
30/09/2 020		There is a risk that the impact of COVID-19 continues to affect the Trust's quality outcomes and targets Executive Lead: Arne Rose Operational Lead: To be allocated Last Updated: 12 Jan 2021	and continuousl y improving teams work together to support and enable the delivery of high quality	no significant reduction in the volume of Covid- 19 patients within the hospitals the known impact of winter/service pressures Effect(s) & Impact(s): continuing cancellation of routine work and growing elective lists		I = 5 L = 4 20	I = 5 L = 3 15	Control Owner: To be allocated	Extensive monitoring at operational and strategic levels: • Daily sitrep report • Emergency and Resilience Plan and Group Meeting • Approval through Gold Command	Incident Room reports Plans agreed/funding secured to increase capacity	Opening of new wards in progress		Adequate Assurance Date: 10 Dec 2020 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Estate capacity submission to NHSI/E re: RSH ED/SDEC, Modular ward capacity, Mobile diagnostic unit(s). Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 31 Dec 2020		I = 5 L = 2 10
	BAF 9			negative effect on acute services and patient outcomes				training ongoing programme in	IPC compliance report to QSAC monthly	•Health/Safety related Policies/ Procedures in place •Staff training in place and compliance rates reported to QSAC •Infection control annual plan developed in line with the Hygiene Code	•Advanced Training in National guidance •IPC programme approved at QSAC •Environmental cleaning audits	A D 2	Adequate Assurance Date: 10 Dec 2020 Assurance By: Tony Holt Adequate Assurance Date: 10 Dec 2020 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 04 Jan 2021 Vaccination Programme - vaccination hubs across Shropshire established and operational Person Responsible: To be allocated To be implemented by: 29 Jan 2021	Programme - hubs across stablished and	
								effective deployment	PPE report from Medical Director	PHE oversight/regular reporting						
									Angie Wallace leading programme		LHE-wide reporting of progress against targets		Limited Assurance Date: 10 Dec 2020 Assurance By: Tony Holt			

Board Assurance Framework 20/21 Appendix B

Doa	ra / issarance	Traffic Work 20/21														пррени
Cre d D		Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect		Inherent Risk Priority			Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)		Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority
02/1	0/2	There is a risk around the	We deliver	Hazard(s) / Cause(s):	Finance &	I = 4 L = 3	I = 4 L = 2	Communication with NHS.	Workforce updates	Procurement team		Uncertainty		Action 1		I = 4 L = 2
020		uncertainty of Brexit	safe and	Potential for UK to leave	Performance	12	8	Awaiting Guidance with respect	flag potential	closely monitoring		around				8
			excellent	EU without a deal				to:	issues to	and flagging any		emerging 'no		Person Responsible: To be		
		Executive Lead: Nigel	care, first							changes in national		deal' scenario		allocated		
	DVE	Lee		Effect(s) & Impact(s):					Committees	position.				To be implemented by: 31 Dec		
	BAF	Operational Lead: To be		Disruption to				Equipment						2020		
	10	allocated		procurement and HR												
	10	Last Updated: 12 Jan						Control Owner: To be allocated								
		2021														

		ramework 20/21								1						Appen									
Create d Date	Risk Ref	Risk Title/Descriptor	Strategic Objective	Cause & Effect	Assurance Committee	Inherent Risk Priority	Residual Risk Priority	Risk Control	Control Assurance (1st Line)	Control Assurance (2nd Line)	Control Assurance (3rd Line)	Gap(s) in Control / Assurance	Overall Assurance Assurance Level	Action Required	Progress Notes	Target Risk Priority									
23/02/2 021		There is a risk of prolonged and/or substantial failure to deliver standards of maternity and neonatal care. Executive Lead: Hayley Flavell Operational Lead: Last Updated: 22 Apr 2021	We deliver safe and excellent care, first time, every time	Hazard(s) / Cause(s): Inconsistencies in care relating to: - Safeguarding Patients - Avoidable perinatal mortality and morbidity - Infection Prevention and Control - Antenatal, Intrapartum and postnatal care pathways - Early booking	Quality and Safety	I = 5 L = 4 20	I = 5 L = 3 15	·	Exemplar Programme	QSAC, chaired by	Clinical audit programme & monitoring arrangements	Not achieving required levels of training.	Limited Assurance Date: 23 Feb 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt Limited	Develop CREWS Board and weekly meeting for local monitoring of performance data Person Responsible: Nicola Wenlock To be implemented by: 28 May 2021		I = 5 L = 2 10									
		2021	- Infant - Patier - Anten screeni - Provis labour - Provis bereav - Perins Effect(s The Tri these s -Incons clinical -Incom comper -Incons govern arrange -Inabilit retain t and ski staff -Indivic practice health - Timeli of decis escalat - Unwilli concer - Failure inciden sustain	 Infant Nutrition Patient Experience Antenatal and newborn screening Provision of 1:1 care in 				detail the required standards and practice for maternity care. Control Owner: Nicola Wenlock			Improvement Programme with system and regulatory oversight group	quality of incident review leading to late identification of SIs	Assurance Date: 23 Feb 2021 Perso Wenle To be 2021 Imple all are	and assurance Person Responsible: Nicola Wenlock To be implemented by: 30 May 2021 Implement NBCP fully across all areas Person Responsible: Nicola	22 Apr 2021 CH: Interim Director and Ass. Director level support in post										
	BAF 11			-Individual substandard practice of registered health professionals -Timeliness and quality				Maternity metrics that routinely monitor standards of care. Control Owner: Nicola Wenlock		Safe staffing report submitted to Public Board of Directors in line with CNST MIS safety action 5 meeting		Incidents not all reviewed in timely manner due to capacity within team No local oversight of performance	Limited Assurance Date: 23 Feb 2021 Assurance By: Tony Holt	Wenlock To be implemented by: 31 May 2021 Gap analysis against CQC core assessment framework Person Responsible: Nicola Wenlock To be implemented by: 31 May 2021											
				of decision making and escalation -Unwillingness to raise concerns -Failure to learn from incidents and make sustained improvements -Capacity and				Maternity Transformation Programme Control Owner: Hayley Flavell						Develop patient engagement strategy Person Responsible: Nicola Wenlock To be implemented by: 31 May 2021											
					Sapasity dild.		Capacity and		Capacity and	Capacity und.:	Suputity and	-Сараску ана	-барабіу апи				Incidents via Datix provides a route for all staff to raise concerns and report issues. Control Owner: Nicola Wenlock	The service level meetings eg. PMRT meeting monitor compliance and performance with standards, ensure issues/incidents are recognised, acted upon, reported and lessons are learnt and shared.			Variable quality of incident review leading to late identification of SIs Incidents not all reviewed in timely manner due to capacity within team	Date: 23 Feb 2021	Complete Action Plan for Independent Maternity Review emerging trends Person Responsible: Nicola Wenlock To be implemented by: 31 May 2021 Implement LAFL and IEAs as		
									that provide real time data in	meeting to review	MQOC, cheired by DoN - receives monthly reports detailing - dashboard - staffing - PMRT - Workforce - MIP - MTP		Variable quality of incident review leading to late identification of SIs Incidents not all reviewed in timely manner due to capacity within team	Date: 23 Feb 2021 Assurance By:	per IMR action plan Person Responsible: Hayley Flavell To be implemented by: 31 Dec										