

# **Board of Directors' Meeting 7 October 2021**

| Agenda item           | 249/21   |  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Report                | Ockenden Report – Progress Re  | port   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Executive Lead        | Director of Nursing  |  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Link to strategic pillar:  |  | Link to CQC dom   | ain:                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Our patients and community   |  | Safe  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Our people   |  | Effective   | $\checkmark$                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Our service delivery   |  | Caring  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Our partners   |  | Responsive  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Our governance   |  | Well Led  | $\checkmark$                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Report recommendations:  |  | Link to BAF / risk  | :                               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | For assurance  |  | BAF 1<br>BAF 2<br>BAF 8   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | For decision / approval  |  | Link to risk regis  | ter:                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | For review / discussion  |  | CRR 16  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | For noting   |  | CRR 18<br>CRR 19  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | For information  |  | CRR 23  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | For consent  |  | CRR 27<br>CRR 31  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presented to:         | Directly to the Board of Directors   |  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent upon        | N/A  |  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Executive<br>summary: | <ul> <li>This report presents an update Action Plan and other related mate against the required Report (2020), and this work common The Board of Directors is request</li> <li>This report, the Ockenden Reand Exception Reports at Apple</li> <li>Decide if any further informating required</li> </ul> | tters.<br>action<br>tinues<br>red to<br>port A<br>port A | Good progress cont<br>ns from the first O<br>at pace.<br>receive and review:<br>action Plan at <b>Appen</b><br><b>x Two</b> | tinues to<br>ckenden<br>dix One |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appendices            | Appendix One: Ockenden Repo<br>2021<br>Appendix Two: Ockenden Repo<br>Reports  |  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | +OMACEN  |  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 1. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

1.1 This report presents an update on all 52 actions in the Trust's Ockenden Report<sup>1</sup> Action Plan since the last meeting of the Board of Directors in Public on 5<sup>th</sup> August 2021. Updates are provided on other related matters.

## 2. THE OCKENDEN REPORT (INDEPENDENT MATERNITY REVIEW - IMR)

- 2.1. The Board of Directors received the first Ockenden Report Emerging Findings and Recommendations from the Independent Review of Maternity Services at Shrewsbury and Telford NHS Trust; Our First Report following 250 Clinical Reviews, at its meeting in public on 7 January 2021.
- 2.2. The report sets out the following actions for the Trust to implement:

| 2.2.1. | Twenty-seven Local Actions for Learning (LAFL), which are specific 'Must Do' actions for this Trust, and;   |
|--------|---|
| 2.2.2. | Seven Immediate and Essential Actions (IEA) for all NHS providers of maternity care, which apply to this Trust, also. These seven themes comprise 25 related actions. |
| 2.2.3. | In total, there are 52 specific actions for the Trust to implement.   |

- 2.3. All of the Ockenden actions (LAFL's and IAE's) have been cross-referenced to the Trust's Maternity Transformation Plan, which now includes The Maternity Improvement Plan, as workstream 6.
- 2.4. The latest version of the first Ockenden Report Action Plan as at 24<sup>th</sup> September 2021 is presented at **Appendix One** for the Board's consideration (Note: Glossary and Index are at the back of the plan). The latest commentary is provided in blue text.

## 3. STATUS OF REQUIRED ACTIONS

3.1. The **'Delivery Status'** position of each of the 52 actions as at 24<sup>th</sup> September 2021 is summarised in the following table:

|       | Delivery Status            |         |           |        |                     |                          |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|----------------------------|---------|-----------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|       | Total #<br>recommendations | Not yet | delivered |        | d, Not Yet<br>enced | Evidenced and<br>Assured |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | recommendations            | Aug 21  | Current   | Aug 21 | Current             | Aug 21                   | Current |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAFL  | 27                         | 14      | 12        | 13     | 5                   | 0                        | 10      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IEA   | 25                         | 9       | 8         | 15     | 10                  | 1                        | 7       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 52                         | 23      | 20        | 19     | 15                  | 1                        | 17      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As can be seen, there has been significant positive movement in the delivery status numbers since August 2021. When considered together, the number of actions delivered is:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> www.gov.uk/official-documents. (2010) Ockenden Report – Emerging Findings and Recommendations from the Independent Review of Maternity Services at Shrewsbury and Telford NHS Trust; Our First Report following 250 Clinical Reviews.

- LAFL 15/27 = 56%
- IEA 16/25 = 64%
- Total 31/52 = 60%

The Women and Children's Division continues to work hard to address outstanding actions but this is very positive progress.

3.2. Using the same approach, the **'Progress Status'** position of each action as at 24<sup>th</sup> September 2021, is summarised in the following table:

|       | Progress Status |        |         |        |         |        |         |        |         |           |         |  |  |  |
|-------|-----------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
|       | Total #         | Not S  | Started | On     | Track   | At     | Risk    | Off    | Track   | Completed |         |  |  |  |
|       | recs.           | Aug 21 | Current | Aug 21    | Current |  |  |  |
| LAFL  | 27              | 0      | 0       | 21     | 14      | 1      | 0       | 5      | 3       | 0         | 10      |  |  |  |
| IEA   | 25              | 2      | 2 **    | 21     | 16      | 0      | 0       | 1      | 0       | 1         | 7       |  |  |  |
| Total | 52              | 2      | 2       | 42     | 30      | 1      | 0       | 6      | 3       | 1         | 17      |  |  |  |

Note \*\* - the two IEA's not yet started are outwith the Trust's control (see below)

As can be seen, there has been significant positive movement in progress status, also, since August 2021. When considered together, the number of actions delivered is:

- LAFL On track or completed 24/27 = 89%
- IEA On track or completed 23/25 = 92%
- Total On track or completed 43/52 = 83%

Those actions 'Off Track' or 'At Risk' are now described.

3.3. There are three Local Actions for Learning that are Off track. These are:

# 3.3.1. LAFL 4.59 - The maternity department clinical governance structure and team must be appropriately resourced so that investigations of all cases with adverse outcomes take place in a timely manner.

This governance review has not yet been completed. The new Head of Clinical Governance is now overseeing this work and the Trust's Head of Patient Safety is supporting the division. A revised date for expected delivery has not yet been set. This is an ongoing discussion at the Maternity Transformation Assurance Committee

3.3.2. LAFL 4.60 - The maternity department clinical governance structure must include a multidisciplinary team structure, trust risk representation, clear auditable systems of identification and review of cases of potential harm, adverse outcomes and serious incidents in line with the NHS England Serious Incident Framework 2015.

The delivery of this action is linked to 4.59 above.

3.3.3. LAFL 4.73 - Women with pre-existing medical co-morbidities must be seen in a timely manner by a multidisciplinary specialist team and an individual management plan formulated in agreement with the mother to be. This must include a pathway for referral to a specialist maternal medicine centre for consultation and/or continuation of care at an early stage of the pregnancy. This is a complex action as some of the actions falls within the Trust to deliver, whilst other components are dependent upon national action being taken to establish specialist maternal medicine centres, which is out of the Trust's control.

The exception reports that provide further details on each of these actions are provided at **Appendix Two.** 

- 3.4. There are two Immediate and Essential Actions that are not yet delivered/not started. These are:
- 3.4.1. IEA 1.3 LMS must be give greater responsibility and accountability so that they can ensure that the maternity services they represent provide safe services for all that access them, and;

#### 3.4.2. IEA 1.4 - An LMS cannot function as one maternity service only.

These two actions are linked closely. Efforts to try and resolve them are still underway; however, a final decision on the future model and arrangements is awaited. In the meantime the Trust and CCG are working together to improve the information flows and assurance mechanisms, albeit still within a single LNMS arrangement.

3.3. In summary, positive progress is being made in relation to the delivery of the actions from the first Ockenden Report. This woks continues. There are some sticking points in relation to those that are off track; however, all of these still have work being undertaken to try and address them.

#### 4. OTHER MATTERS RELATING TO THE OCKENDEN REPORT ACTIONS

- 4.1. The Board of Directors is aware that the Trust wrote to Donna Ockenden to seek clarity in relation to LAFL's 4.98 and 4.99. These relate to the provision of neonatal intensive care services (NICU) and when the Trust, as a Level 2 NICU, should consult with/seek advice from a Level 3 NICU.
- 4.2. The Board of Directors has been advised previously that the Trust is compliant fully with all current network and national guidance in this respect, and this all works well. However, these actions from the first Ockenden report put additional steps in pace, which the Trust feels are not necessary.
- 4.3. Dr Richard Kennedy, Associate Medical Director at NHSE/I Midlands Region has looked into this matter for the Trust and has consulted with the West Midlands Operational Delivery Network (ODN)> The ODN has confirmed the Trust's ongoing compliance with network/national guidance. Effectively, the Trust is compliant with these requirements, which satisfies the essence of the Local actions for learning. These actions are now evidenced and assured, and completed.

#### 5. OCKENDEN REPORT ASSURANCE COMMITTEE (ORAC)

5.1. The sixth Ockenden Report Assurance Committee took place on Thursday 22 September 2021. Three topics were presented: an update/recap on progress against the Ockenden report actions, an update on the supporting financial arrangements and, also, an introduction to the new BadgerNet maternity information system that is in the process of being introduced. The Chair will describe more about this committee in her report at today's meeting. The next meeting is aiming to look at service user engagement and involvement and is due to take place on Tuesday 19<sup>th</sup> October 2021.

#### 6. SUMMARY

6.1. Good progress continues to be made against the required actions from the first Ockenden Report (2020), and this work continues at pace. There are some challenges; however, work continues to address all of them.

#### 7. ACTION REQUIRED OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

- 7.1. The Board of Directors is requested to receive and review:
  - This report, the Ockenden Report Action Plan at **Appendix One** and Exception Reports at **Appendix Two**
  - Decide if any further information, action and/or assurance is required

#### Hayley Flavell Executive Director of Nursing

#### October 2021

**Appendix One:** Ockenden Report Action Plan at 24<sup>th</sup> September 2021 **Appendix Two:** Ockenden Report Action Plan – Exception Reports

| LOCAL ACTIONS FOR LEARNING (LAFL): The learning and action points outlined here are designed to assist The Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust with making imm |
|--|
| the safety and quality of their maternity services.  |

|             |   |  |            |                                  |                             | the safet          | the safety and quality of their maternity services.   |                              |                               |          |                   |                       |                                      |  |
|-------------|---|--|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| LAFL<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status          | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)   | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by |          | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence              |  |
| Loca        | al Actions for Learning Theme 1: N  | laternity  | Care       |                                  |                             |                    |   |                              |                               |          |                   |                       |                                      |  |
| 4.54        | A thorough risk assessment must take place at the<br>booking appointment and at every antenatal<br>appointment to ensure that the plan of care remains<br>appropriate.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured | Completed          | MTAC agreed on 22/04/2021 that the evidence provided, including booking guideline, risk assessment proforma and Clinical Referral Team process, was sufficient to move this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced'.<br>A pilot audit of 20 case notes was conducted in May and June. This comrpised a series of questions designed to test compliance with a number of actions from the Ockenden Report. It included questions on whether risk assessment was conducted at booking, and at the onset of labour. The audit found that this was compliant in 100% of cases.<br>MTAC were statisfied at their August 2021 to mark this action as 'Evidenced and Assured', but directed that the audit should be repeated as soon as possible with a higher number of cases, and routinely checked going forward, including when Badgernet is in full use - the system will make this audit very automated.  | 22/04/21                     | 30/06/21                      | 10/08/21 | Hayley<br>Flavell | Guy Calcott           | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br><u>SharePoint</u> |  |
| 4.55        | All members of the maternity team must provide<br>women with accurate and contemporaneous<br>evidence-based information as per national<br>guidance. This will ensure women can participate<br>equally in all decision making processes and make<br>informed choices about their care. Women's choices<br>following a shared decision making process must be<br>respected.        | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured | Completed          | <ul> <li>Videos and leaflets available plus BabyBuddy app. Key info also provided in handheld notes, which enhanced in partnership with the MVP. The Trust has conducted a review of peer-organisations websites and social media content, and accordingly is working to update its own offering.</li> <li>MTAC agreed on 22/04/2021 that the evidence provided, including information videos, virtual ward tours, online antenatal classes, the new Personalised Care and Support Plan (co-produced with the MVP) and Place of Birth Choice leaflet, and minutes from the Birth Options Clinic was sufficient to move this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced'.</li> <li>A pilot audit of 20 case notes was conducted in May and June. This tested evidence of conversation with healthcare professional to support the decision-making process, written information, documented outcome of the discussions, and number of time a care plan outside of the recommended pathway/national guidance was chosen. Compliance in most cases was around 100%, though only 63% for the provision of written information. This will certainly improve with the introduction of Badgernet, which has mandatory fields to support this and checks to ensure the birthing person has been able to access the information. MTAC approved this action 'evidenced and assured' in their August 2021 meeting, subject to ongoing audit as outlined above.</li> </ul> | 22/04/21                     | 30/06/21                      | 10/08/21 | Hayley<br>Flavell | Guy Calcott           | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br>SharePoint        |  |
| 4.56        | The maternity service at The Shrewsbury and<br>Telford Hospital NHS Trust must appoint a dedicated<br>Lead Midwife and Lead Obstetrician both with<br>demonstrated expertise to focus on and champion<br>the development and improvement of the practice of<br>fetal monitoring. Both colleagues must have<br>sufficient time and resource in order to carry out their<br>duties. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 30/06/21                         | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured | Completed          | This action was accepted as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' at the July MTAC, with evidence including proof that a dedicated obstetrician and two specialist midwives are now in substantive posts; all with suitable allocation of time to devote to training and knowledge update of EFM. Job descriptions and person specifications showing the expertise and experience required, and evidence of training provision and continuous professional development were also provided.<br>The action was accepted as 'Evidenced and Assured' at the September 21 MTAC meeting, based on the information provided as part of the response to the minimum evidence requirements for IEA 6 (especially 6.1 and 6.2) (which mirrors this LAFL) as set out by NHSE/I. The two dedicated midwives have conducted monthly audits to prove compliance.   | 13/07/21                     | 31/08/21                      | 10/08/21 | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |                                      |  |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

#### nmediate and significant improvements to

| LAFL<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place)                   | Delivery<br>Status          | Progress<br>Status             | Status Commentary (This Period)   | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Date<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence       |
|-------------|---|--|------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4.57        | These leads must ensure that the service is<br>compliant with the recommendations of Saving<br>Babies Lives Care Bundle 2 (2019) and subsequent<br>national guidelines. This additionally must include<br>regional peer reviewed learning and assessment.<br>These auditable recommendations must be<br>considered by the Trust Board and as part of<br>continued on-going oversight that has to be provided<br>regionally by the Local Maternity System (LMS) and<br>Clinical Commissioning Group. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 30/06/21   | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured | Completed                      | A dedicated SBL project midwife in post, progress against Saving Babies' Lives (SBL) v2 is monitored within scope of Maternity Transformation Plan (MTP); and funding for this post has been secured for a second year.<br>The audits and guideline updates conducted and implemented by this colleague were supported and scrutinised by a specialist senior midwife working on behalf of our partners from Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. They were also subject to oversight and scrutiny from MTAC and the Board of Directors in their relation to Safety Action 6 of Year 3 of CNST and found to be complete and robust. Accordingly, MTAC approved this as 'Evidenced and Assured' in their August 21 meeting.   | 13/07/21                     | 15/07/21                      | 14/09/21                | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |                               |
| 4.58        | Staff must use NICE Guidance (2017) on fetal<br>monitoring for the management of all pregnancies<br>and births in all settings. Any deviations from this<br>guidance must be documented, agreed within a<br>multidisciplinary framework and made available for<br>audit and monitoring.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 30/04/21   | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured | Completed                      | <ul> <li>FIGO (International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics) guidelines implemented (as opposed to NICE and supported by NHSI/E improvement advisor in 2020). SaTH Fetal Monitoring guideline, approved by the Clinical Network, recommends that there should be further internal review given the action for the report. This is being progressed.</li> <li>MTAC agreed on 22/04/2021 that the evidence provided, including an approval record from the Clinical Network of SaTH's fetal monitoring guideline and re-approval by the Quality Operational Committee and QSAC, was sufficient to move this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced'.</li> <li>Subsequently, at their August meeting, MTAC accepted this as 'Evidenced and Assured' based on the results of the pilot case notes audit, which showed 100% compliance in suitable conversations as part of the intrapartum decision-making process (including a documented discussion of risks, benefits and alternatives in 88% of cases). Continuous fetal monitoring was required (in line with guidelines) for 61% of the cases, and in 100% of these, it was documented that that woman had agreed to the recommendations of continuous fetal monitoring.</li> </ul> | 22/04/21                     | 30/06/21                      | 10/08/21                | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br>SharePoint |
| 4.59        | The maternity department clinical governance<br>structure and team must be appropriately resourced<br>so that investigations of all cases with adverse<br>outcomes take place in a timely manner.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | <del>30/06/21</del><br>New date<br>to be<br>agreed | Not Yet<br>Delivered        | (see<br>exception<br>report)   | A review of the governance team structure is underway. The Trust has also set up two<br>new divisional governance forums with the aim of ensuring timely and thorough conduct<br>of investigations. Despite this ,and whilst improvements are being made, the MTP Group<br>does not feel that there is enough evidence in place to recommend MTAC to mark this<br>deliverable as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced', because the partnered Governance<br>Review has not yet been completed.<br>An exception report was created and accepted by MTAC on 10/08/2021, but no deadline<br>has been re-assigned to the deliverable, pending a clearer picture on when the review is<br>likely to be completed.   |                              | 30/09/21                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |                               |
| 4.60        | The maternity department clinical governance<br>structure must include a multidisciplinary team<br>structure, trust risk representation, clear auditable<br>systems of identification and review of cases of<br>potential harm, adverse outcomes and serious<br>incidents in line with the NHS England Serious<br>Incident Framework 2015.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 30/06/21<br>New date<br>to be<br>agreed            | Not Yet<br>Delivered        | Off Track<br>(see<br>exception | A review of the Governance team structure is underway, actively supported by our<br>SFHNHST partners with a formal Terms of Reference in place. The Trust has taken<br>steps to introduce additional resources (incoming corporate Head of Clinical<br>Governance) and new forums have been set up that will help deliver this action. The<br>divisional team is benefitting from the interim leadership of the Trust's Head of Patient<br>Safety, plus the imminent addition of a senior Risk and Governance specialist midwife,<br>to be supported in due course by two further specialist midwives.<br>However, until the above interventions have been carried out and more of the action's<br>sub-tasks have been completed (including the conduct of an assurance exercise and<br>cross-referencing between Datix and MEDWAY), the MTPG cannot yet advise MTAC to<br>approved this action as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced'. As with 4.59, an exception report<br>was created and accepted by MTAC on 10/08/2021, but no deadline has been re-<br>assigned to the deliverable, pending a clearer picture on when the review is likely to be<br>completed.   |                              | 30/09/21                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |                               |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

| LAFL<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status                 | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Date<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence              |
|-------------|---|--|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4.61        | Consultant obstetricians must be directly involved<br>and lead in the management of all complex<br>pregnancies and labour.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured        | Completed          | All women with complex pregnancies are seen by an obstetrician, but an audit is required.<br>MTAC agreed on 22/04/2021 that the evidence provided, including the revised risk assessment proforma (used at booking), and the CRT Referral Process, was sufficient to move this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced'. This decision was followed up as part of the pilot case-notes audit, which found that, of the 20 sets of notes audited, 8 women had been referred to a consultant. In all cases (100%), this was to the correct Consultant based on the primary condition, the appointment was made within CRT guidelines, and the women did indeed attend the appointment. The highest grade of doctor who saw the women was, in each case, a consultant. Based on this, MTAC approved the action as 'Evidenced and Assured' in their August meeting. The action must be the subject of ongoing audit as described above. | 22/04/21                     | 31/05/21                      | 10/08/21                | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br><u>SharePoint</u> |
| 4.62        | There must be a minimum of twice daily consultant-<br>led ward rounds and night shift of each 24 hour<br>period. The ward round must include the labour ward<br>coordinator and must be multidisciplinary. In addition<br>the labour ward should have regular safety huddles<br>and multidisciplinary handovers and in-situ<br>simulation training. |  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured        | Completed          | Consultant ward rounds at 08:30 and 20:30 in place 7 days per week since September 2019; handover sheets in place, weekly MDT in-situ simulation training in place. An audit if handover notes proved appropriate inclusion of MDT colleagues, including fro obstetric anaesthesia.<br>MTAC agreed on 22/04/2021 that the evidence provided, including examples of obstetric handover sheers, an small audit of the handover run-rate, an example of the safety huddle attendance record, evidence of anaesthetist representatives attending ward rounds, planned purchase of PROMPT sim equipment to be held on wards for insitu training, and evidence (design and feedback sheets, with attendance records to follow) of regular multi-disciplinary team simulation training was sufficient to move this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced', with a follow-up check including attendance records to follow.                | 22/04/21                     | 30/06/21                      | 10/08/21                | Hayley<br>Flavell | Guy Calcott           | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br><u>SharePoint</u> |
| 4.63        | Complex cases in both the antenatal and postnatal<br>wards need to be identified for consultant obstetric<br>review on a daily basis.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Delivered,<br>Not Yet<br>Evidenced |                    | This action is in place - MTAC agreed on 22/04/2021 that the evidence provided (completed obstetric handover sheets) was sufficient to move this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced', but noted that they would need to see the new handover sheet that is being introduced to add greater control and oversight, and the results of a formal audit, before it can be accepted as 'evidenced and assured'.<br>Since the above direction was provided by MTAC, the MTP has not yet been able to secure the evidence required. An exception report has been prepared and was accepted by MTAC on 10/09/2021, agreeing a revised evidence date of 28-Feb-22 based on the point that the case notes audit tool is being revised, and a subsequent audit will be conducted as soon as possible.   | 22/04/21                     | 28/02/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Guy Calcott           | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br><u>SharePoint</u> |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

| LAFL<br>Ref | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status                 | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)   | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Date<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence              |
|-------------|--|--|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4.64        | The use of oxytocin to induce and/or augment labour<br>must adhere to national guidelines and include<br>appropriate and continued risk assessment in both<br>first and second stage labour. Continuous CTG<br>monitoring is mandatory if oxytocin infusion is used<br>in labour and must continue throughout any<br>additional procedure in labour. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 30/04/21                         | Delivered,<br>Not Yet<br>Evidenced | On Track           | Current guideline regarding use of oxytocin is in line with national guidance, including continuous CTG monitoring. 'Fresh eyes' initiative and regular reviews by obstetricians is in place<br>Guideline to be enhanced beyond required standards, e.g. 4 hourly review by doctor if oxytocin is being used. Standard operating process for documentation of obstetric reviews to be developed.<br>MTAC agreed on 22/04/2021 that the evidence provided (demonstration of use of stickers to show continuous monitoring is carried out, and the preliminary findings of a 'snap audit' of 12 case notes to show continuous monitoring, including during insertion of epidural was being carried out) was sufficient to move this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced', but outlined a requirement for a full, formal audit as the next step for evidencing.<br>Accordingly, a specific section (Question 15, parts a-I) on the case notes audit tool was created. However, the results of the pilot audit were inconclusive. The tool has been amended to increase clarity, and the next audit will be run as soon as possible.<br>Depending on the findings to this part of the audit, MTPG will propose an change to the delivery status for this action at a future meeting. A exception report has been prepared, with a request to extend the evidence date to Feb-22. This was accepted by MTAC at their September meeting.                                     | 22/04/21                     | 28/02/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br>SharePoint        |
| 4.65        | The maternity service must appoint a dedicated<br>Lead Midwife and Lead Obstetrician both with<br>demonstrated expertise to focus on and champion<br>the development and improvement of the practice of<br>bereavement care within maternity services at the<br>Trust.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/07/21                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered               | On Track           | Two bereavement midwives are in place, but the Trust does not yet have a named consultant lead (although consultants are currently offering this care collectively). Funding has been agreed for the rainbow clinic, of which the consultant will be a part. At their meeting on 22/04/2021, MTAC found this action has not yet been delivered, because the business case has not yet been approved (though they have seen the document itself). They noted that an appropriate guideline (Fetal Loss and Early Neonatal Death) is in place and appropriately experienced midwives are in place, and that the consultants are providing bereavement care. However, for this service to be consistent and fully optimised, the committee need to see the protected consultant time / appointment - this must also show service user representation in the selection of candidates. An exception report has been provided, and May MTAC agreed delivery date rebaseline from 31/03/21 to 31/07/21; evidence rebaseline from 30/06/2021 to 30/09/2021. This action has already been the subject of one agreed deadline amendment (from June to July 21 for delivery, and September 21 for evidence). As the action has not yet been delivered, as at mid-September, a further exception report has been prepared and submitted to MTAc at their September 21 meeting, with a request to amend the delivery and evidence dates to February 2022 in line with LAFL 4.66. |                              | 30/09/21                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Guy Calcott           | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br><u>SharePoint</u> |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

|   | AFL<br>Ref | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status   | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)   | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence |
|---|------------|--|--|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 4 | .66        | The Lead Midwife and Lead Obstetrician must adopt<br>and implement the National Bereavement Care<br>Pathway. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 28/02/22                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered | On Track           | <ul> <li>14/09/2021: The Trust has conducted a self-assessment regarding the level to which the pathway has been adopted. This indicates a high-level of compliance. However, it has not been externally validated. Sands (the stillbirth and neonatal death society) have been commissioned to conduct a review of bereavement care at SaTH; the will visit the Trust on 9-10th November. Subject to their advice, SaTH will engage Sands for further development guidance and support.</li> <li>The Trust has in place two specialist bereavement midwives. Consultant-led bereavement care is in place, however as yet there is no named consultant lead. Funding for the rainbow clinic has been approved. Once this is in place, and the consultant lead is named; it is likely MTP will be able to advise MTAC to consider this action 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced'.</li> <li>MTAC accepted an exception report to this effect at their August 21 meeting and agreed a revised date for both delivery and evidencing of February 2022.</li> </ul> | 28/02/22                      | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |                         |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

| LAFL<br>Ref | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date    | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place)        | Delivery<br>Status                 | Progress<br>Status                        | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completior<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Date<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence              |
|-------------|--|--|---------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
|             | The Trust must develop clear Standard Operational<br>Procedures (SOP) for junior obstetric staff and<br>midwives on when to involve the consultant<br>obstetrician. There must be clear pathways for<br>escalation to consultant obstetricians 24 hours a<br>day, 7 days a week. Adherence to the SOP must be<br>audited on an annual basis.   | <b>faternal</b><br>Y                                 | <b>Deaths</b> | 31/03/21                                | Delivered,<br>Not Yet<br>Evidenced | On Track                                  | The escalation policy already in place; it was updated in November 2020 to describe situations where Consultants must be in attendance. A process is in place to assess competencies of all middle grade doctors, not just O&G trainees.<br>At their meeting on 22/04/2021, MTAC accepted status 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' based on the escalation process poster that is displayed on the wards.<br>In order to progress to 'Evidenced and Assured Status', the committee next wish to see the completed guidelines / SOP document, and an audit of adherence to them. This formed part of the pilot case notes audit, but the evidence regarding this section (Q7 c and d and Q8) was inconclusive. Accordingly, the wording of the associated questions within the audit tool has been made more specific and explicit, and the next round of audit will be commenced as soon as possible. In the meantime, the deadline having been missed, an exception report has been prepared and was accepted by MTAC at   | 22/04/21                     | 28/02/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Guy Calcott           | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br><u>SharePoint</u> |
| 4.73        | Women with pre-existing medical co-morbidities<br>must be seen in a timely manner by a<br>multidisciplinary specialist team and an individual<br>management plan formulated in agreement with the<br>mother to be. This must include a pathway for<br>referral to a specialist maternal medicine centre for<br>consultation and/or continuation of care at an early<br>stage of the pregnancy. | Y  | 10/12/20      | 30/06/21<br>New date<br>to be<br>agreed | Not Yet<br>Delivered               | Off Track<br>(see<br>exception<br>report) | their September meeting.<br>SaTH's risk assessment process at booking has been redesigned with early referral for<br>women with pre-existing medical conditions - they are seen in multi-disciplinary clinics.<br>Where there is not a relevant MDT clinic they are seen by an Obstetrician with an<br>interest in maternal medicine for assessment and referral to a local / tertiary Physician.<br>MTAC noted at their August 21 meeting that completion of this action is reliant on<br>several external dependencies that are not within SaTH's control to implement. The<br>main one is the establishment of the regional Maternal Medicine Specialist Centres. The<br>original (self-imposed) June deadline having been missed, MTAC were provided with an<br>exception report, which they accepted - including the proposal that the delivery and<br>evidence dates be left blank until a clearer timeline from the regional Clinical Network is<br>available.<br>However, in the meantime, the service must ensure that all relevant guidelines covering<br>care for women with co-morbidities (for example cardiac conditions) should be reviewed,<br>and where necessary, updated. Furthermore, the service should draft guidelines for<br>referral to specialist maternal medicine centres (acknowledging that the guidelines are<br>the prerogative of the Network), that can be finalised once the centres are nearing<br>implementation. |                              |                               |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Guy Calcott           |                                      |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

|    | \FL<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status                 | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)   | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence       |
|----|------------|---|--|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4. | .74        | There must be a named consultant with<br>demonstrated expertise with overall responsibility for<br>the care of high risk women during pregnancy,<br>labour and birth and the post-natal period. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Delivered,<br>Not Yet<br>Evidenced | On Track           | Complex antenatal and postnatal inpatients are identified at the morning and evening<br>Delivery Suite handovers 7 days a week. This information is recorded on the handover<br>sheets. The on call consultant attends the antenatal ward round daily to conduct a ward<br>round along with the Tier 2 doctor. They also attend the postnatal ward to review any<br>women identified as complex. This will be evidenced by an attendance audit and<br>through auditing the information on the handover sheets. Of note, the Ockenden report<br>does not specify what constitutes 'demonstrated expertise'.<br>MTAC approved this as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' at their meeting of 22/04/2021,<br>noting the revised risk assessment form and CRT referral process (as with LAFL 4.54<br>and 4.61). However, the MTP group have not yet been able to secure audit evidence<br>that the appropriate consultant is being nominated for all such cases requiring this level<br>of care. The case notes audit (the tool for which is currently being revised, following the<br>pilot audit and in preparation for the imminent re-audit) should help to evidence this.<br>However, the action having missed its intended (self-imposed) deadline for evidencing,<br>an exception report has been prepared and was accepted by MTAC at their September<br>meeting. | 22/04/21                     | 28/02/22                      | Hayley<br>Flavell | Guy Calcott           | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br>SharePoint |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

| LAFL<br>Ref | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status   | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Date<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence                             |
|-------------|--|--|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Loca        | al Actions for Learning Theme 3: C   | Obstetric  | Anaest     | hesia                            |                      |                    |  |                              |                               |                         |                   |                       |   |
| 4.85        | Obstetric anaesthetists are an integral part of the<br>maternity team and must be considered as such.<br>The maternity and anaesthetic service must ensure<br>that obstetric anaesthetists are completely integrated<br>into the maternity multidisciplinary team and must<br>ensure attendance and active participation in<br>relevant team meetings, audits, Serious Incident<br>reviews, regular ward rounds and multidisciplinary<br>training.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/22                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered | On Track           | Anaesthetists participating in MDT ward rounds and running / participating in MDT<br>emergency obstetrics course simulation centre, approximately 3 x per year. The Lead<br>obstetric anaesthetist is a key facilitator in weekly in situ simulation training and the<br>service has recently recruited a new anaesthetist with deep experience in sim training<br>design to further support. The training includes obstetricians, anaesthetists of all grades,<br>ODPS & other theatre staff and midwives of all grades (incuding students and co-<br>ordinators). More than 90% of Obstetric anaesthetists completed the online PrOMPT<br>course by April 2021, and there is planned Involvement of anaesthetists in PrOMPT<br>training for the remainder of 2021 and into 2022 – both as facilitators and participants.<br>The service has also identified an obstetric anaesthetic consultant to join the weekly<br>teaching faculty. Once this is is in place, and all of the above points sufficiently<br>evidenced, it is likely MTCA will be in a position to accept this action as 'Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced'. Given the complexity, scale and challenges of fully meeting these<br>actions, MTAC, at their August meeting, accepted the proposal mooted at the July<br>ORAC, that all actions relating to Obstetric Anaesthesia should have the delivery and<br>evidence deadline extended to March 2022. In the meantime, the Trusts interim co-<br>medical directions will meet with the Obstetric Anaesthesia lead and other senior leaders<br>in the Trust's anaesthesia services to ascertain what further support is needed. MTAC<br>stated a requirement for a full progress updated on all of these actions to be provided no<br>later than their December meeting, to ensure that they are on track to meet the revised<br>deadline. |                              | 31/03/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |   |
| 4.86        | Obstetric anaesthetists must be proactive and make<br>positive contributions to team learning and the<br>improvement of clinical standards. Where there is<br>apparent disengagement from the maternity service<br>the obstetric anaesthetists themselves must insist<br>they are involved and not remain on the periphery,<br>as the review team have observed in a number of<br>cases reviewed.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/22                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered | On Track           | There is good engagement with anaesthetics department, but this is over-dependent on<br>the contributions of the obstetric anaesthesia lead, and must therefore be broadened.<br>Two consultants have been appointed to take on this role, and evidence of this will be<br>shared in due course.<br>The consultant Anaesthetics Lead for Obstetrics is working closely with Clinical Director<br>for obstetrics to ensure that anaesthetics staff are fully-embedded in the delivery of safe<br>and effective care. The lead is also a principal office in the planned establishment of a<br>high-dependency service for mothers within maternity / neonatal care - this is a multi-<br>disciplinary initiative.<br>Notwithstanding this, MTAC recognised the complexity involved in implementing the<br>actions associated with Obstetrics Anaesthesia, and granted a deadline extension for<br>this action to March 2022, with an update required no later than December 2021.   |                              | 31/03/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Janine<br>McDonnell   |   |
| 4.87        | Obstetric anaesthetists and departments of<br>anaesthesia must regularly review their current<br>clinical guidelines to ensure they meet best practice<br>standards in line with the national and local<br>guidelines published by the RCoA and the OAA.<br>Adherence to these by all obstetric anaesthetic staff<br>working on labour ward and elsewhere, must be<br>regularly audited. Any changes to clinical guidelines<br>must be communicated and necessary training be<br>provided to the midwifery and obstetric teams.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/22                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered | On Track           | Annual audit cycle in regards to Royal College of Anaesthetists (RCoA) Guideline audit<br>currently in place (covers theatre and epidural practice). The Guidelines review that was<br>started this year is now almost complete, with two final sets to be finished. The audit of<br>this is not yet in place, but will partly covered by the bespoke Ockenden Report Case<br>Notes audit tool, with further resources to be provided as needed.<br>Noting the scale of this task and the associated resources challenges, MTAC agreed a<br>deadline extension to March 2022 for this task, adding a requirement for a formal update<br>no later than December 2021.   |                              | 31/03/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |   |
| 4.88        | Obstetric anaesthesia services at the Trust must<br>develop or review the existing guidelines for<br>escalation to the consultant on-call. This must<br>include specific guidance for consultant attendance.<br>Consultant anaesthetists covering labour ward or the<br>wider maternity services must have sufficient clinical<br>expertise and be easily contactable for all staff on<br>delivery suite. The guidelines must be in keeping<br>with national guidelines and ratified by the<br>Anaesthetic and Obstetric Service with support from<br>the Trust executive. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/22                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered | On Track           | Middle grade rota is staffed by experienced obstetric anaesthetists only. Continuous<br>Professional Development (CPD) for consultants that cover obstetrics at night but who<br>do not have regular sessions in obstetrics is in place.<br>SOP/Guideline: "When to Call a Consultant" has been completed and published on the<br>SaTH intranet document library. Compliance of completed CPD sessions is in progress<br>of being collated and audited by a member of the anaesthetics team.<br>As with all of the actions derived from this section of the report, in the light of the<br>complexity and scale of this action and the associated challenges, MTAC approved a<br>revised delivery and evidence date of 31/03/2022 at their August 21 meeting, but require<br>a formal progress update by their December meeting.   |                              | 31/03/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         | Link to SaTH<br>Anaesthetics<br>Document<br>Library |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

| LAFL<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status                 | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Date<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence       |
|-------------|---|--|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4.89        | The service must use current quality improvement<br>methodology to audit and improve clinical<br>performance of obstetric anaesthesia services in line<br>with the recently published RCoA 2020 'Guidelines<br>for Provision of Anaesthetic Services', section 7<br>'Obstetric Practice'. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/22                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered               | On Track           | Accordingly, and as with all of the actions derived from this section of the report, in the light of the complexity and scale of this action and the associated challenges, MTAC approved a revised delivery and evidence date of 31/03/2022 at their August 21 meeting, but require a formal progress update by their December 2021 meeting.  |                              | 31/03/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |                               |
| 4.90        | The Trust must ensure appropriately trained and<br>appropriately senior/experienced anaesthetic staff<br>participate in maternal incident investigations and<br>that there is dissemination of learning from adverse<br>events.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/22                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered               | On Track           | Obstetric Anaesthetist expertise is incorporated to regular Datix reviews and these colleagues also provide regular input to 'Human Factors' investigations. The Trust recognises the need for Anaesthetics consultants (other than the obstetrics anaesthesia lead) to dedicate SPA time to Obstetrics in additionin order to progress this action, and this will require audit evidence. It represents a significant challenge to the service in its current format, hence MTAC approved a revised delivery and evidence date of 31/03/2022 at their August 21 meeting, but require a formal progress update by their December meeting.  |                              | 31/03/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |                               |
| 4.91        | The service must ensure mandatory and regular<br>participation for all anaesthetic staff working on<br>labour ward and the maternity services in<br>multidisciplinary team training for frequent obstetric<br>emergencies.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Delivered,<br>Not Yet<br>Evidenced | On Track           | <ul> <li>SaTH have proved compliance Clinical Negligence Scheme for Trusts (CNST) Maternity<br/>Incentive Scheme, safety action 8, which governs multi-disciplinary training for<br/>emergencies including neonatal resuscitation.</li> <li>A simulation course is held 3 x per year, and In situ simulation training conducted<br/>weekly. 90% of obstetric anaesthetists submitted evidence of completion of the online<br/>PrOMPT course by April 2021.</li> <li>MTAC accepted this as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' at their first meeting in April<br/>2021, based on evidence of 89% completion rate of the online PROMPT training by<br/>anaesthetists, and feedback notes and course design of MDT training organised by the<br/>anaesthetic consultants. It was agreed at this time that the demonstrated fulfilment of<br/>CNST MIS Safety Action 8 will move this to 'Evidenced and Assured Status', however<br/>attendance records had not been secured in time for the September MTAC meeting,<br/>and will therefore be shared at the October meeting, at which point it is likely MTPG can<br/>advise MTAC to accept the action as 'evidenced and assured'.</li> </ul> | 22/04/21                     | 31/03/22                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell |                       | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br>SharePoint |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

| LAFL<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status          | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Date<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence              |
|-------------|---|--|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Loca        | al Actions for Learning Theme 4: N  | leonatal   | Service    | •                                |                             |                    |  |                              |                               |                         |                   |                       |                                      |
| 4.97        | Medical and nursing notes must be combined; where<br>they are kept separately there is the potential for<br>important information not to be shared between all<br>members of the clinical team. Daily clinical records,<br>particularly for patients receiving intensive care,<br>must be recorded using a structured format to<br>ensure all important issues are addressed.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured | Completed          | Roll out of combined medical and nursing notes to Neonatal Unit (NNU) was<br>implemented soon after receipt of the Ockenden Report, in Q4 2020/2021.<br>The NNU undertook to ensure information on joint medical and nursing note keeping<br>held on all staff induction. Adherence to the above is monitored via an audit, designed<br>and conducted by one of our consultant neonatologists.<br>MTAC approved this as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' in April 2021 having seen proof<br>of the combined notes format having been adopted (by the deadline set out above).<br>They also saw examples of the SaTH Exutero Exception monthly log for the previous<br>quarter. Having been provided with the evidence of subsequent audits proving<br>compliance, MTAC accepted the action as 'Evidenced and Assured' in their September<br>meeting. To further embed the action, NNU will repare a business case for Neonatal<br>Badgernet EPR, which wil align efficiently with the system that was introduced to<br>Materntity Services in August 2021. |                              | 30/04/21                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br><u>SharePoint</u> |
| 4.98        | There must be clearly documented early consultation<br>with a neonatal intensive care unit (often referred to<br>as tertiary units) for all babies born on a local<br>neonatal unit who require intensive care.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/07/21                         | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured | Completed          | Confirmation was received on 16-Aug-21 from Dr R Kennedy (Associate Medical Director NHSE/I Midlands) that, following his discussion with the ODN Medical Leads (neonatologists) and they are in agreement that compliance with the WM ODN Pathway framework, BAPM Guidance on Good Practice for LNUs and the NHSE Commissioning Guidance for Neonatal Care is sufficient.<br>Additionally, he recommended a local SoP be developed which sets out escalation, triggers for level three unit consultation and referral, compliance with which should form part of the audit schedule. The SOP is in place. Based on this, MTAC accepted this action as 'Evidenced and Assured' in their September meeting, with the proviso that the sudit of adherence to the SOP should form part of the ongoing audit schedule.   | 14/09/21                     | 30/06/21                      | 14/09/21                | Hayley<br>Flavell | Shirley Jones         |                                      |
| 4.99        | The neonatal unit should not undertake even short<br>term intensive care, (except while awaiting a<br>neonatal transfer service), if they cannot make<br>arrangements for 24 hour on-site, immediate<br>availability at either tier 2, (a registrar grade doctor<br>with training in neonatology or an advanced neonatal<br>nurse practitioner) or tier 3, (a neonatal consultant),<br>with sole duties on the neonatal unit. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/10/21                         | Evidenced<br>and<br>Assured | Completed          | The issue of a split Tier 2 rota due to the size of the paediatric department is being developed actively.<br>The neonatal unit is compliant with BAPM staffing and activity for medical/ANNP staff with a combined rota but the activity and size of paediatrics has led the Deanery to recommend a split Tier 2.<br>SaTH have now recruited a seventh Consultant Neonatologist, with an expected start date of January 2022.<br>Given evidenced compliance with the BAPM guidelines (externally checked and validated by Dr Kennedy, Medical Director NHSE/I Midlands), and assurance that the recruitment of the seventh consultant has been conducted, MTAc accepted this action as 'Evidenced and Assured' in their September meeting.  | 12/01/21                     | 31/10/21                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell | Janine<br>McDonnell   |                                      |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

|   | AFL<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date<br>(action in<br>place) | Delivery<br>Status   | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced<br>by | Date<br>evidenced<br>by | Lead<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence              |
|---|------------|---|--|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4 | .100       | There was some evidence of outdated neonatal<br>practice at The Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital<br>NHS Trust. Consultant neonatologists and ANNPs<br>must have the opportunity of regular observational<br>attachments at another neonatal intensive care unit. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21                         | Not Yet<br>Delivered | On Track           | MTAC approved this as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' on 22/04/2021, based on<br>evidence seen of firm plans for such placements to take place at Royal Stoke Hospital,<br>New Cross Hospital and Birmingham Women's Hospital. However, the plans stalled as<br>SaTH were not able to reelease consultants for attachments at the same time as<br>maintaining a safe rota: a seventh consultant would be needed for this. Accordingly, the<br>action was moved back to 'Not Yet Delivered' status.<br>SaTH has subsequently recruited a seventh neonatal consultant and reconfirmed<br>agreement from the Neonatal Departments at Stoke and Birmingham Women and<br>Children's Hospitals to accept our neonatal staff (both consultants and Advanced<br>Neonatal Nurse Practitioners) on rotational attachments (2 weeks per year). This has<br>been introduced to consultants' Job Plans. However, this consultant will not be able to<br>start at the Trust until January 2022. MTAc accepted an exception report detailing this at<br>their September meeting, and agreed a deadline extension to February 2022. |                              | 30/10/21                      |                         | Hayley<br>Flavell |                       | <u>SaTH NHS</u><br><u>SharePoint</u> |

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

| IEA<br>Ref                      | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date | Delivery<br>Status       | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced by | Date<br>evidenced by | Accountable<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence |
|---------------------------------|--|--|------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Safety in r                     | iate and Essential Action 1: Enhanced Safety<br>naternity units across England must be strengthened by increasing partners   |  |            |          | 0                        |                    |  |                              |                            |                      |                          |                       |                         |
| Clin<br>clir<br>1.1 this<br>das | ring Trusts must work collaboratively to ensure that local investigations into a<br>nical change where required must be embedded across trusts with regional<br>ical oversight in a timely way. Trusts must be able to provide evidence of<br>a through structured reporting mechanisms e.g. through maternity<br>shboards. This must be a formal item on LMS agendas at least every 3<br>inths. |  | 10/12/20   | 31/10/21 | Not Yet Delivered        |                    | Review at LMNS Board in order to consider what data is required and in what format<br>Work being scoped with NHSEI to develop national maternity dashboard with SaTH as a key stakeholder  |                              |                            |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Shirley Jones         |                         |
| 1.2 reg                         | ternal clinical specialist opinion from outside the Trust (but from within the<br>ion), must be mandated for cases of intrapartum fetal death, maternal<br>ath, neonatal brain injury and neonatal death.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/05/21 | Evidenced and<br>Assured | Completed          | MTAC (at July meeting) approved this as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' based on compliance with the minimum evidence<br>requirements published for this action by NHSEI in May, which proves that all cases which fulfil PMRT criteria are currently reviewed<br>with external panel member present (typically an obstetrician from Walsall NHS Trust); an audit having been carried out to assure this<br>and proof given that the presence of the external person is clearly set out in the relevant guidelines.<br>Subsequently, an audit was carried out to check that an external specialist had been a panel member at the relevant meetings was<br>conducted and proved compliance. MTAC therefore accepted the action as 'Evidenced and Assured' at their August meeting.  | 13/07/21                     | 31/07/21                   | 10/08/21             | Hayley Flavell           | Shirley Jones         |                         |
| 1.3 en:                         | IS must be given greater responsibility and accountability so that they can<br>sure the maternity services they represent provide safe services for all who<br>sess them.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | твс      | Not Yet Delivered        | Not Started        | SaTH have embarked on a review of membership of LMNS with a view to joining a larger LMNS - this includes a review of current structure and work streams to ensure adequate and effective oversight.<br>LMNS and CCG have implemented t the Perinatal Clinical Quality Surveillance Model, which includes SaTH, and have supplied plus minutes and organograms showing the formal receipt of information pertaining to maternity issue including SIs, Continuity of Carer roll-out and MVP co-production. However, the NHSEI minimum evidence requirements for IEAs, published in May 2021, do not allude to this specific action.<br>Given the large number of external dependencies on which the action is contingent, and to avoid arbitrary deadlines, MTAC agreed that the delivery and evidence date should be amended to 'to be confirmed', pending clarity on this process.  |                              | твс                        |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Hayley Flavell        |                         |
| 1.4 An                          | LMS cannot function as one maternity service only.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | твс      | Not Yet Delivered        | Not Started        | SATH currently a single trust LNMS. Issue raised with NHSI/E regional office. Review of membership of LMNS with a view to joining a larger LMNS.<br>Review of current structure and work streams to ensure adequate effective oversight. To mitigate against any shortfalls that may result from being a single-service LMNS, SaTH is benefitting from its strategic partnership with Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Trust. Work to formalise a regional partnership is ongoing.<br>At their August meeting, Match acknowledged that this is a major strategic decision for SaTH and is also dependent on a significant number of external deliverables and partners. In order to avoid arbitrary deadlines, MTAC agreed that the delivery and evidence dates for this should be marked as 'to be confirmed', pending greater clarity. All actions with no assigned date will be reviewed on at least a monthly basis to check whether enough clarity has been obtained to be able to move forward with the action. |                              | твс                        |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Hayley Flavell        |                         |
| 1.5 dire                        | e LMS Chair must hold CCG Board level membership so that they can<br>actly represent their local maternity services which will include giving<br>surances regarding the maternity safety agenda.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 30/06/21 | Evidenced and<br>Assured | Completed          | MTAC accepted this as 'Evidenced and Assured' at their August-21 meeting, based on the evidence provided: CCG Terms of<br>reference and published list of members; showing that the LMNS chair is a member of the CCG's board. Subsequently, SaTH's<br>Maternity and Neonatal Safety Champion now benefits from the addition of the CCG's Senior Quality Lead and Patient Safety<br>Specialist, further strengthening the promotion of the safety agenda between CCG, LMNS and the Trust.  | 31/01/21                     | 30/06/21                   | 10/08/21             | Hayley Flavell           | Hayley Flavell        |                         |
| 1.6 Tru                         | maternity SI reports (and a summary of the key issues) must be sent to the<br>st Board and at the same time to the local LMS for scrutiny, oversight and<br>nsparency. This must be done at least every 3 months.  |  | 10/12/20   | 28/02/22 | Not Yet Delivered        | On Track           | SaTH recognise the need to review and strengthen SI reporting process to Trust Board and LMNS - a quarterly report to Trust Board, using peer as example of reporting process, must be part of this.<br>MTAC reviewed progress against this at their meeting on 22/04/2021, and decided there is not enough evidence of transparency (in terms of publishing), so this remained 'Not Yet Delivered'. At MTAC's August meeting, the committee still felt this action is not being met sufficiently. At their September report, they agreed a further exception report requesting a delivery and evidence extension to February-22 to give time for the ongoing governance review to be completed and embedded (this action will form a key part of the review). Next steps are for the Trust to consult with SFHNHST to learn from how they report safety matters in the public domain, with a view to adopting best practice   |                              | 28/02/22                   |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Shirley Jones         |                         |

| IEA<br>Ref | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date | Delivery<br>Status       | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be Date<br>evidenced by evidenced by | Accountable<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence  |
|------------|--|--|------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Imm        | ediate and Essential Action 2: Listening to Women and Fa   | amilies  |            | 1        |                          |                    |  |                              |  | 1                        |                       |  |
| Mater      | nity services must ensure that women and their families are listened to with their   | voices heard.  |            |          |                          |                    |  |                              |  |                          |                       |  |
| 2.1        | Trusts must create an independent senior advocate role which reports to both the Trust and the LMS Boards.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | твс      | Not Yet Delivered        | On Track           | These roles are being developed, defined and recruited to nationally. It is understood that this process in underway.<br>The NHSEI minimum evidence requirements for IEAs, published in May 2021, made clear that as no progress has ben announced<br>on the national initiative, Trusts are not expected to demonstrate any evidence of progress on this action. This not being within<br>SaTH's control, there is no requirement or benefit in marking the action as 'Off Track', further, MTAC agreed at their August meeting<br>to amend the delivery and evidence dates to 'to be confirmed' pending clarity on a national level as to when and how these roles will<br>be created.   |                              | TBC  | Hayley Flavell           | Hayley Flavell        |  |
| 2.2        | The advocate must be available to families attending follow up meetings with<br>clinicians where concerns about maternity or neonatal care are discussed,<br>particularly where there has been an adverse outcome.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | TBC      | Not Yet Delivered        | On Track           | Once in post, methodology for this is to be developed. The NHSEI minimum evidence requirements for IEAs, published in May 2021, made clear that as no progress has ben announced on the national initiative, Trusts are not expected to demonstrate any evidence of progress on this action. MTPG therefore advise MTAC to re-baseline the delivery date until October or November at the earliest. This not being within SaTH's control, there is no requirement or benefit in marking the action as 'Off Track'.   |                              | твс  | Hayley Flavell           | Hayley Flavell        |  |
| 2.3        | Each Trust Board must identify a non-executive director who has oversight of maternity services, with specific responsibility for ensuring that women and family voices across the Trust are represented at Board level. They must work collaboratively with their maternity Safety Champions. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21 | Evidenced and<br>Assured | Completed          | SaTH has a Non-Executive Safety Champion in post with oversight of Maternity Services, and an Executive Safety Champion in post<br>– Trust Executive Medical Director (Interim co-Medical Directors currently representing). All of these post-holders are active members<br>of the Maternity and Neonatal Safety Champions Group, and participate on a monthly basis in this group's 'walkabouts' and meetings.<br>The actions was approved to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' by MTAC on 22-May-21 and ORAC on 27-May-21 and to 'Evidenced<br>and Assured' by MTAC on 8-Jun-21 based on CNST Safety Action 9 evidence and full compliance with the minimum evidence<br>requirements set out in the NHSEI guidance for this criterion as published on 5 May 2021.  | 22/05/2021                   | 30/04/21 08/06/21                            | Hayley Flavell           |                       | SaTH NHS SharePoint -<br>Maternity Safety<br>Champions workspace |
| 2.4        | CQC inspections must include an assessment of whether women's voices are truly heard by the maternity service through the active and meaningful involvement of the Maternity Voices Partnership.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | TBC      | Not Yet Delivered        | On Track           | Action to be discussed with CQC at relationship meeting. It is understood the MVP were not contacted by CQC at their most recent inspection of SaTH.<br>SaTH enjoys an extremely positive and productive relationship with our much-valued MVP partners, who offer support, challenge and co-production. Highlights include the recently introduced 'UX' ('User Experience) card system to gather direct, actionable user feedback, and which has met with significant praise in local media and from the British Intrapartum Care Society. Notwithstanding this, the action is that CQC inspections must test this, and this aspect it outside our control. The action must therefore remain 'Not Yet Delivered'; there is no reason or benefit in marking 'Off Track' as the action is not within our control and there is no escalation route. MTAC agreed at their August meeting that the delivery and assurance dates should be left 'to be confirmed' until greater clarity can be obtained from CQC and other parties. |                              | твс  | Hayley Flavell           | Shirley Jones         |  |

| IEA<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date | Delivery<br>Status              | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced by | Date<br>evidenced by | Accountable<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence |
|------------|---|--|------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|            | no work together must train together  | logeniei   |            |          |                                 |                    |  |                              |                            |                      |                          |                       | Į                       |
| 3.1        | Trusts must ensure that multidisciplinary training and working occurs and must<br>provide evidence of it. This evidence must be externally validated through the<br>LMS, 3 times a year.                  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 30/06/21 | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track           | MDT Practical Obstetric Multi-Professional Training (PrOMPT) training in place and occurring monthly (doctors and midwives)<br>Weekly MDT simulation exercises take place on delivery suite with ad hoc sessions on Midwifery Led Unit<br>Twice weekly Cardiotocograph (CTG) learning and feedback sessions on Delivery Suite – MDT delivered by CTG midwife and/or<br>consultant<br>Identified Obstetric anaesthetic lead with Human Factor specialist interest attends MDT training.<br>MTAC (at July meeting) approved this as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' based on the (peer-reviewed) evidence supplied for Safety<br>Action 8 of CNST and the minimum evidence requirements for IEAs published by NHSEI in May 2021, which comprises PrOMPT<br>attendance records and training content. The approved MDT funding bid and MTP training allocation are being implemented - for<br>example, SaTH has 25 places on both Baby Lifeline's Management of the Sick and Deteriorating Woman and Learning From<br>Adverse Events courses in November. SaTH has also invested in enhanced Clinical Practice Educator roles and training backfill for<br>midwives and consultants as well as PA to deliver PROMPT and CTG training. Upon confirmation and evidencing that LMNS are<br>receiving quarterly reports on this activity, the action will be recommended for acceptance as 'Evidenced and Assured'. | 13/07/21                     | 30/10/20                   |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Will Parry-Smith      |                         |
| 3.2        | Multidisciplinary training and working together must always include twice daily<br>(day and night through the 7-day week) consultant-led and present<br>multidisciplinary ward rounds on the labour ward. | γ  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21 | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Completed          | There is a twice-daily ward round on the delivery suite with the delivery suite midwifery coordinator, duty anaesthetist and obstetric<br>consultant in attendance. These occur at 08:30 and 20:30.If there is a change of consultant, there is an additional ward round at<br>17:00.<br>7-day working of consultant in place within maternity services; 7-day rota in place to ensure obstetric consultant cover meeting<br>Consultant must a daily sheet that records the ward round and a Monthly audit of attendance at Ward Rounds has been introduced.<br>SaTH has recruited a number of additional consultants over the summer of 2021, with more recruitment ongoing.<br>Multidisciplinary Simulation (SIM) training and PROMPT courses already take place.<br>MTAC approved this action to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' on 22/04/2021, based on the same evidence as discussed for 4.62, as<br>well as information provided on ongoing recruitment of locum consultant obstetricians, with some substantive roles also planned.<br>Based on evidence of the audit mentioned above, MTAC accepted the action as 'Evidenced and Assured' in their August 21 meeting.  | 22/04/21                     | 30/06/21                   | 10/08/21             | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           | SaTH NHS SharePoint     |
| 3.3        | Trusts must ensure that any external funding allocated for the training of<br>maternity staff, is ring-fenced and used for this purpose only.   | Y  | 10/12/20   | 30/06/21 | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Completed          | SaTH have 13 associated proposed business cases comprising investment of up to £5.1m across all Women and Children's specialties with a further £0.3m provided by the LMNS. Included in this request is up to 102 members of staff, of which 55 would be permanent additions. Of this, the Maternity Transformation Programme has been allocated £1.35m of which £190k (14% of the total) has been set aside for training, the bulk of which is multi-disciplinary. Further, the Trust has been awarded £55k of part of the national response, which has been ring-fenced for PrOMPT, fetal monitoring training and instruction, and associated backfill for clinical time. Al of this is being reported regularly to MTAC and has been approved by the Director of Finance. Accordingly, MTAC accepted this as 'Delivered and Evidenced' at their August 21 meeting.  | 10/08/2021                   | 30/09/21                   | 10/08/21             | Hayley Flavell           | Hayley Flavell        |                         |

| IEA<br>Ref | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date         | Due Date              | Delivery<br>Status              | Progress<br>Status  | Status Commentary (This Period)   | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced by | Accountable<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence |
|------------|--|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|            | ediate and Essential Action 4: Managing Complex P  | -  |                    |                       |                                 |                     |   |                              |                            |                          |                       |                         |
|            | nust be robust pathways in place for managing women with complex pregnanc<br>h the development of links with the tertiary level Maternal Medicine Centre the   |  | ent reached on the | criteria for those of | cases to be discuss             | ed and /or referred | to a maternal medicine specialist centre.   |                              |                            |                          |                       |                         |
| 4.1        | Women with Complex Pregnancies must have a named consultant lead.  | Y  | 10/12/20           | 30/06/21              | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track            | All women with complex pregnancies have a named consultant lead.<br>Appropriate risk assessment documented at each contact<br>A formal auditing process has commenced and will be report to respective local governance meetings. This includes a review of<br>Midwifery led cases for appropriate referral onwards, to be undertaken.<br>Based on this, as well as the evidence already reviewed and accepted for LAFL 4.54, MTAC approved this as 'Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced' at their July meeting.  | 13/07/21                     | 29/10/21                   | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           |                         |
| 4.2        | Where a complex pregnancy is identified, there must be early specialist<br>involvement and management plans agreed between the women and the<br>team.  | Y  | 10/12/20           | 30/06/21              | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track            | Antenatal risk assessments to continually reassess care pathway incorporated and being further developed, including integration with Badgernet.<br>Fetal monitoring a priority, with specific leads in place to champion awareness.<br>Individual pathways incorporating pre-existing morbidities created.<br>Process already in place including specialist antenatal clinics for diabetes and endocrine, haematology, cardiac disease, rheumatology, respiratory, gastro, neurology and mental health. Review of women with additional needs at monthly multidisciplinary meetings. This may include specific medical conditions but, also, for individualised birth plans. Business case submitted for additional consultant hours to staff an "Urgent" Antenatal clinic to see women developing complex obstetric conditions.<br>An audit has commenced to test that correct referrals are being made at all times. Connections to the regional maternal medicine specialist centres, which are being rolled out, are being developed in order to achieve holistic solution. Based on this, and the fact the NHSEI minum evidence requirements were the same as for IEA 4.1 (and similar to LAFL 4.54, which has already been delivered), MTAC approved this as having been 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' at their July 2021 meeting. | 13/07/21                     | 29/10/21                   | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           |                         |
| 4.3        | The development of maternal medicine specialist centres as a regional hub<br>and spoke model must be an urgent national priority to allow early discussion<br>of complex maternity cases with expert clinicians. | Y  | 10/12/20           | твс                   | Not Yet Delivered               | On Track            | The location of the regional centres has been divided upon by the clinical network, but the centres have not yet been set up. SaTH will act to formalise connections with specialist maternal medical centres once established<br>This action was one of six that MTAC, at their August 21 meeting, accepted as being outside of the direct control or ability of SaTH to implement - the specialist centres are being established under the oversight of the regional clinical network. Therefore, MTAC agreed that the delivery and evidence dates should be set as 'to be confirmed' pending an update from the network. This is to be monitored closely by divisional clinical and managerial leads, and as soon as the details are known, the action plan must be updated and implemented with urgency.<br>Given that we do not have an clear indication of timeline for this action, there is no benefit in marking it 'off track' hence status has reverted to 'on track'.   |                              | TBC                        | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           |                         |
| 4.4        | This must also include regional integration of maternal mental health services.  | Y  | 10/12/20           | 30/06/21              | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track            | Obstetric Clinical Director engaged with network on this topic. Perinatal mental health guidelines and referral pathways have been shared as evidence, and this was accepted as "Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' in April 2021. Since then, SaTH has become an early implementer of the Perinatal Mental Health Service, under the leadership of one of the Transformation Midwives. The clinic is now in the course of being set up, with specialist midwives and psychologists recruited. Once this has had time to establish itself, an update will be shared (to include details of how it is integrated regionally); this is likely to be suitable evidence to move the action to 'Evidenced' and Assured'.  | 20/04/21                     | 29/10/21                   | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           |                         |

| IEA<br>Ref | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date | Due Date | Delivery<br>Status              | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced by | Date<br>evidenced by | Accountable<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence |
|------------|--|--|------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Imn        | nediate and Essential Action 5: Risk Assessment Thr  | oughout Pre  | gnancy     |          |                                 |                    |  |                              |                            |                      |                          |                       |                         |
| Staff      | nust ensure that women undergo a risk assessment at each contact throughout  | the pregnancy path                                   | iway.      |          |                                 |                    |  |                              |                            |                      |                          |                       |                         |
| 5.1        | All women must be formally risk assessed at every antenatal contact so that<br>they have continued access to care provision by the most appropriately<br>trained professional. | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21 | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track           | For Intrapartum care, high risk women will have risk re-assessed hourly throughout labour with "fresh eyes" review. A separate risk assessment tool is being developed for women receiving low risk care in all birth settings to clearly document a regular review of risk status. Documentation contained within each woman's handheld PSCP/notes requires risk assessment to be reviewed at each contact MTAC were satisfied to approve this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' on 22/04/2021 based on the evidence provided for LAFL 4.54. They specified that they require to see evidence of risk assessment being made a mandatory field in Badgernet, and audit evidence to show that Place of Birth choice is reviewed at each appointment, in order to progress this to the next delivery stage. This latter evidence was still not available as of the MTAC meeting in September. Accordingly, an exception report was provided. This explained that the evidence collated on this point from the pilot Ockenden Report case notes audit was inconclusive, and that accordingly the tool is in process of being modified preparatory to a second audit as soon as possible. This combines with the implementation of Badgernet in mid-August 21 to give confidence that the evidence will be available in the next few weeks. However, a significant deadline extension (to February 2022 from June 2021) for evidencing this was requested, to ensure the audit data can be analysed and acted upon before reporting back to the committee. |                              | 30/06/21                   | 28/02/21             | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           | SaTH NHS SharePoint     |
| 5.2        | Risk assessment must include ongoing review of the intended place of birth, based on the developing clinical picture.  | Y  | 10/12/20   | 31/03/21 | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track           | Place of birth revalidated at each contact as part of ongoing risk assessment<br>Mother's choices based on a shared and informed decision-making process respected<br>MTAC approved this as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' on 22/04/2021, based on evidence seen for elements of LAFL 4.54 and 4.55<br>(specifically, the monthly review clinic, from which minutes were provided, and the birthplace choices leaflet and online information).<br>The audit evidence to support this action's move to 'Evidenced and Assured' status was still not available as of the MTAC meeting in<br>September. Accordingly, an exception report was provided. This explained that the evidence collated on this point from the pilot<br>Ockenden Report case notes audit was inconclusive, and that accordingly the tool is in process of being modified preparatory to a<br>second audit as soon as possible. This combines with the implementation of Badgernet in mid-August 21 to give confidence that the<br>evidence will be available in the next few weeks. However, a significant deadline extension (to February 2022 from June 2021) for<br>evidencing this was requested, to ensure the audit data can be analysed and acted upon before reporting back to the committee.  | 22/04/21                     | 30/06/21                   | 28/02/21             | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           | SaTH NHS SharePoint     |

| lour | Status                          | Description  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|
|      | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|      | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|      | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

| IEA<br>Ref | Action required   | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date          | Due Date          | Delivery<br>Status              | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)   | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced by | Date<br>evidenced by | Accountable<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence |
|------------|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|            | ediate and Essential Action 6: Monitoring Fetal Well<br>ernity services must appoint a dedicated Lead Midwife and Lead Obstetrician but   | •  | ted expertise to fo | cus on and champi | on best practice in             | fetal monitoring.  |   |                              |                            |                      |                          |                       | I                       |
| 6.1        | The Leads must be of sufficient seniority and demonstrated expertise to<br>ensure they are able to effectively lead on:<br>* Improving the practice of monitoring fetal wellbeing<br>* Consolidating existing knowledge of monitoring fetal wellbeing<br>* Keeping abreast of developments in the field<br>* Raising the profile of fetal wellbeing monitoring<br>* Ensuring that colleagues engaged in fetal wellbeing monitoring are<br>adequately supported<br>* Interfacing with external units and agencies to learn about and keep<br>abreast of developments in the field, and to track and introduce best practice. | Y  | 10/12/20            | 30/06/21          | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Completed          | Lead obstetrician in place with allocated time and job description – 1 SPA per week incorporating PROMPT, Fetal monitoring (0.5) & education and training. Two midwife champions have now been substantively appointed as CTG champions.<br>This action was accepted as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' at the July 2021 MTAC meeting, with evidence including proof that a dedicated obstetrician and two specialist midwives are now in substantive posts; all with suitable allocation of time to devote to training and knowledge update of EFM. Job descriptions and person specifications showing the expertise and experience required, and evidence of training provision and continuous professional development were also provided. It was noted that the requirements are closely linked to those of LAFL 4.56, which has also been accepted.<br>MTAC accepted the action as 'Evidenced and Assured' at their September meeting based on the evidence provided as part of the NHSE/I minimum evidence requirements for IEAs. The committee did note, however, that formal response from NHSE/I as to the level to which this evidence supports proven completion of the action is still pending, and this must be factored in upon receipt. Further, ongoing evidence of the CTG training and activities will be expected (as with all of the Ockenden Report actions). | 13/07/21                     | 31/08/21                   | 14/09/21             | Hayley Flavell           | Shirley Jones         |                         |
| 6.2        | The Leads must plan and run regular departmental fetal heart rate (FHR)<br>monitoring meetings and cascade training. They should also lead on the<br>review of cases of adverse outcome involving poor FHR interpretation and<br>practice.  | Y  | 10/12/20            | 30/06/21          | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track           | Twice weekly training and review MDT meetings in place reviewing practice and identifying learning.<br>Lead Midwife attends weekly risk meetings to ascertain if CTG is a key or incidental finding in any incident.<br>K2 training for midwives and obstetricians in place<br>Incidents reviewed for contributory / causative factors to inform required actions.<br>The two fetal monitoring midwife leads have now been in post for several months and have provided evidence of a multiple well-<br>attended fetal monitoring training days throughout the spring and summer, and plans for more to follow soon. Examples of fetal<br>monitoring champion input to relevant SIs, as provided by the nominated consultant lead, are also available. Based on this, MTAC (at<br>their July meeting) accepted this as 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced'.<br>Given the evidence deadline is in October, MTP will not ask for acceptance of this action as 'Evidenced and Assured' until the<br>October 21 MTAC meeting, so that more examples of training activities and SI involvement (as appropriate) can be shared with the<br>committee.  | 13/07/21                     | 30/10/21                   |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Will Parry-Smith      |                         |
| 6.3        | The Leads must ensure that their maternity service is compliant with the<br>recommendations of Saving Babies Lives Care Bundle 2 and subsequent<br>national guidelines.   | Y  | 10/12/20            | 30/06/21          | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Completed          | SaTH benefits from the close oversight of the SBL care bundle by a senior project midwife (1.0 WTE) who will remain in the post for<br>at least another 24 months.<br>The Trust declared compliance with all required elements of the Saving Babies Lives v2 Care Bundle for the year three CNST MIS<br>scheme. The evidence for this was robustly tested at MTAC and other governance forums as well as specialist senior midwifes from<br>NHSE/I and our Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust partners. MTAC therefore accepted the action as 'Evidenced and<br>Assured' at their August 21 meeting.  | 13/08/21                     | 15/07/21                   | 13/08/21             | Hayley Flavell           | Shirley Jones         |                         |

| lour | Status                          | Description  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|
|      | Not yet delivered               | Recommendation is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks.   |
|      | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Recommendation is in place with all tasks complete, but has not yet gone through the assurance and sign-off process. |
|      | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Recommendation is in place; evidence proving this has been approved by executive and signed off by committee.        |

C

| IEA<br>Ref            | Action required  | Linked to<br>associated<br>plans (e.g.<br>MIP / MTP) | Start Date          | Due Date          | Delivery<br>Status              | Progress<br>Status | Status Commentary (This Period)  | Actual<br>Completion<br>Date | Date to be<br>evidenced by | Date<br>evidenced by | Accountable<br>Executive | Accountable<br>Person | Location of<br>Evidence |
|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|                       | te and Essential Action 7: Informed Consent<br>st ensure women have ready access to accurate information to enable th  | eir informed choice                                  | e of intended place | of birth and mode | of birth, including m           | aternal choice for | caesarean delivery.  |                              |                            |                      |                          |                       |                         |
| 7.1 conten<br>must in | ternity services must ensure the provision to women of accurate and<br>nporaneous evidence-based information as per national guidance. This<br>nclude all aspects of maternity care throughout the antenatal,<br>artum and postnatal periods of care | Y  | 10/12/20            | 31/03/21          | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track           | Patient information leaflets available on the Internet (SaTH Homepage), including recently developed leaflet of choice for place of birth co-produced with the MVP. Also includes link to national PIL on Caesarean section (Tommy's) and Birth after previous caesarean section (RCOG). Work on-going as part Antenatal Care Pathway sub-project; videos, leaflet and Baby Buddy app available. Developing links for women to watch videos on relevant pregnancy topics such as IOL to assist in digesting information. Women requesting a caesarean section RCOG). Work on-going as part Antenatal Care Pathway sub-project; videos, leaflet and Baby Buddy app available. Developing links for women to watch videos on relevant pregnancy topics such as IOL to assist in digesting information. Women requesting a caesarean section are referred to a consultant-led birth options clinic, where this is explored and management is individualised according to their choice. Patient feedback notice boards in place on inpatient areas (translation service available). Digitalisation of patient records, through the implementation of the Badgemet system (now introduced) will ensure this data is available in digital format. The system can also alert clinicians if a mother has not accessed the information, prompting a discussion as to whether further support is needed. The Communication and Engagement workstream includes MVP and patient representation; our MVP colleagues conducted a comparison of SaTH's online provision with that of other Trusts; this will inform ongoing digital improvements as part of the MTP. MTAC approved this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' status based on the evidence referenced for LAFL 4.55, including online and handheld information. However, this action is slightly different from the corresponing LAFL as it emphasies the different points of the pregnancy, and the Trust is developing new leaflets on these specific areas in partnership with the MVP. Therefore, at their September meeting MTAC accepted an exception report explaining tha |                              | 28/02/22                   |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           | SaTH NHS SharePoint     |
| 7.2 Wome<br>proces    | n must be enabled to participate equally in all decision making<br>sses and to make informed choices about their care.   | Y  | 10/12/20            | 31/07/21          | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track           | <ul> <li>Work is on-going as part of the Antenatal Care Pathway sub-project. The Ockenden Report case notes audit and automatic audits from the Badgernet system will help us to ascertain whether the mother and partner / family have received and consumed the information as intended.</li> <li>MTAC decided in their meeting on 22/04/2021 that this should remain 'Not Yet Delivered', as they were not satisfied the Trust has yet done enough to hear from women whether they feel they have all the information they require. MTAC instructed the MTP to liaise more closely with the MVP, who in turn are recruiting a wider section of volunteers and conducting a postnatal survey. Further, WS5 has been further reinforced with the appointment of the Clinical Director of Maternity Services as lead. Topics to explore have already been identified, and this area will be prioritised for the next phase of the project. MTAC accepted an exception report with revised delivery date 30/04/21 to 31/07/21 and evidence date from 30/06/21 to 30/09/21. In their August 21 meeting the accepted the action as 'Delivered', Not Yet Evidenced' based on audit data, minutes from the Birth Options clinic, and evidence of greater liaison and co-production with the MVP, including the new 'UX System'.</li> <li>To move the action to 'evidenced and assured', MTAC require proof that women report, via MVP channels, that they feel empowered to make decisions about their care. The evidence deadline has not changed, because it is feasible to have this evidence ready for the next MTAC meeting (October), in which case the missed deadline will only be slightly out of tolerance. By this time, it is also likely the Trust will have received formal feedback as to the level to which the evidence submitted to the NHSE/I minimum evidence portal for IEAs is deemed by that organisation to have met the standard.</li> </ul>   | 10/08/21                     | 30/09/21                   |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           |                         |
| 7.3 Wome<br>must b    | n's choices following a shared and informed decision making process<br>e respected   | Y  | 10/12/20            | 31/03/21          | Delivered, Not<br>Yet Evidenced | On Track           | A dedicated PALS officer has been appointed to Maternity Services to offer in-reach and provide real time feedback.<br>MTAC approved this to 'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced' in their April 21 meeting, having been provided with copious meeting minutes<br>(anonymised) from the Birth Options Clinic, showing multiple instances of individualised care being put in place in order to enable the<br>mother's chosen care pathway and place of birth. Further audits, including a review of the findings of the above-mentioned MVP-led<br>survey will be examined once available.<br>To move the action to 'evidenced and assured', MTAC require proof that women report, via MVP channels, that they feel empowered<br>to make decisions about their care. The evidence deadline has not changed, because it is feasible to have this evidence ready for<br>the next MTAC meeting (October), in which case the missed deadline will only be slightly out of tolerance. By this time, it is also likely<br>the Trust will have received formal feedback as to the level to which the evidence submitted to the NHSE/I minimum evidence portal<br>for IEAs is deemed by that organisation to have met the standard.  | 22/04/2021                   | 28/02/21                   |                      | Hayley Flavell           | Guy Calcott           |                         |

# **Glossary and Index to the Ockenden Report Action Plan**

## **Colour coding: Delivery Status**

| Colour | Status                          | Description  |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
|        | Not yet delivered               | Action is not yet in place; there are outstanding tasks to deliver.  |
|        | Delivered, Not Yet<br>Evidenced | Action is in place with all tasks completed, but has not yet been assured/evidenced as delivering the required improvements. |
|        | Evidenced and<br>Assured        | Action is in place; with assurance/evidence that the action has been/continues to be addressed.                              |

# **Colour coding: Progress Status**

| Colour | Status      | Description   |
|--------|-------------|---|
|        | Not started | Work on the tasks required to deliver this action has not yet started.  |
|        | Off track   | Achievement of the action has missed or the scheduled deadline. An exception report must be created to explain why, along w possible.   |
|        | At risk     | There is a risk that achievement of the action may miss the scheduled deadline or quality tolerances, but the owner judges that needing to escalate. An exception report must nonetheless be created to explain why exception may occur, along with mitigating the exception may occur. |
|        | On track    | Work to deliver this action is underway and expected to meet deadline and quality tolerances.   |
|        | Complete    | The work to deliver this action has been completed and there is assurance/evidence that this action is being delivered and susta  |

## Accountable Executive and Owner Index

| Name                | Title and Role                | Project Role  |  |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Hayley Flavell      | Executive Director of Nursing | Overall MTP Executive Sponsor                                       |  |
| Guy Calcott         | Obstetric Consultant          | Lead: Clinical Quality and Choice Workstream                        |  |
| Vicki Robinson      | W&C HRBP                      | Acting Lead: People and Culture Workstream                          |  |
| Shirley Jones       | Interim Head of Midwifery     | Lead: Risk and Governance Workstream and Maternity Improvement Plan |  |
| William Parry-Smith | Obstetric Consultant          | Lead: Learning, Partnerships and Research Workstream                |  |
| Mei-See Hon         | Clinical Director, Obstetrics | Lead: Communications and Engagement Workstream                      |  |

| with mitigating actions, where  |
|---------------------------------|
|                                 |
| at this can be remedied without |
| ting actions, where possible.   |
|                                 |
|                                 |
| stained.                        |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |

# Maternity Transformation Assurance Committee Exception Reports Greed by MTAC in August (New deadlines to be confirmed)

Date: 10 August 2021

Prepared by:

**Tom Baker** 

Senior Project Manager, Maternity Transformation





# Ockenden Requirements Implementation: Exception Report (draft first reviewed by MTAC in July but revised date not assigned)

| Date of Report:  | 28 June 2021  | Ockenden ID:  | 4.59             | Delivery<br>Status:    | Not Yet<br>Delivered | Progress<br>Status: | Off Track |
|--|---|---|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Executive Lead:  | Hayley Flavell  | The maternity department clinical governance structure and team must  |                  |                        |                      |                     |           |
| Action Lead:   | Shirley Jones   | <b>Requirement:</b> appropriately resourced so that investigations of all cases with advers outcomes take place in a timely manner. |                  |                        |                      |                     | auverse   |
| Reason for exception and consequences  | Mitigation  |   |                  |                        |                      |                     |           |
| A review of the governance team structure under<br>new divisional governance forums, NOIR and DO<br>thorough conduct of investigations.<br>Despite this and whilst improvements are being r<br>there is enough evidence in place to recommend<br>'Delivered, Not Yet Evidenced', because the part<br>been completed. | <ol> <li>Complete the governance review in partnership with Sherwood Forest Hospitals.</li> <li>Allow time for the new structure, systems and processes to settle into their role</li> <li>Conduct an audit of recent serious incidents and externally-reportable investigations to<br/>ensure that all took place within the mandatory timelines.</li> <li>Oversight to be provided on an interim basis by the Trust's Head of Patient Safety</li> <li>Governance team to be further resourced with a specialist Risk and Governance Midwife<br/>and two further specialist midwives.</li> </ol> |   |                  |                        |                      |                     |           |
| Recommendation   | What lessons have been learnt from this exception?  |   |                  |                        |                      |                     |           |
| The sub-plan for this particular action centres on<br>has not yet been completed, the only recommen-<br>the delivery date and continue with the plan. By w<br>is now fully underway under an agreed, formal Te<br>Trusts.  | The self-imposed June deadline for this deliverable was selected in a desire to act upon the Ockenden recommendations in as timely a way as possible. It has transpired that not enough time was allowed for full implementation. Therefore, this was over-ambitious and is now being reconsidered.   |   |                  |                        |                      |                     |           |
| Recommendation approval (name / date)  | Original due date:  |   |                  | 30/06/2021             |                      |                     |           |
| This was presented to the MTAC meeting in July<br>a revised delivery date could not yet be reached.<br>review of a number of delivery dates should be c  | Proposed revised delivery date:   |   |                  | To be confirmed        |                      |                     |           |
| Caring Trusted   | 2   |   | Our Vision: To p | provide excellent care | e for the communitie | es we serve         |           |

# Ockenden Requirements Implementation: Exception Report

| Date of Report:   | 28 June 2021  | Ockenden ID:                              | 4.60   | Delivery<br>Status: | Not Yet<br>Delivered | Progress<br>Status: | Off Track |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Executive Lead:   | Hayley Flavell  |   | <b>Requirement:</b> The maternity department clinical governance structure must include a multidisciplinary team structure, trust risk representation, clear auditable systems of identification and review of cases of potential harm, adverse outcomes and serious incidents in line with the NHS England Serious Incident Framework 2015. |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| Action Lead:  | Shirley Jones   | Requirement:                              |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| Reason for exception and consequences   | Mitigation  |   |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| A review of the Governance team structure is und<br>SFHNHST partners with a formal Terms of Refere<br>The Trust has taken steps to introduce additional<br>Clinical Governance) and new forums have been<br>(specifically the Divisional Oversight Group and N<br>However, the sub-tasks required to deliver it, inclu-<br>exercise and cross-referencing between Datix and<br>out, so MTPG cannot yet advise MTAC to approve | <ol> <li>The risk meeting structure has been revised, but more time is needed to test as to whether<br/>the new set-up is delivering to the standard required. It does comprise multi-disciplinary<br/>representation.</li> <li>The Divisional Oversight Group is now established, but the Terms of Reference are to be<br/>ratified.</li> <li>The sub-task of conducting an assurance exercise, and cross-referencing between the Datix<br/>and Medway systems must be completed.</li> <li>Oversight to be provided on an interim basis by the Trust's Head of Patient Safety</li> <li>Governance team to be further resourced with a specialist Risk and Governance Midwife and<br/>two further specialist midwives.</li> </ol> |   |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| Recommendation  | What lessons have been learnt from this exception?  |   |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| The plan devised to answer this requirement remains<br>absences, has not yet been fully implemented. The<br>Group advise continuing with the agreed action pl   | The self-imposed June deadline for this deliverable was selected in a desire to act upon the Ockenden recommendations in as timely a way as possible. It has transpired that not enough time was allowed for full implementation.   |   |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| Recommendation approval (name / date)   | Recommendation approval (name / date)   |   |  |                     | 30/06/2021           |                     |           |  |  |
| This was presented to the MTAC meeting in July.<br>a revised delivery date could not yet be reached.<br>review of a number of delivery dates should be ca   | Proposed revis  | ed revised delivery date: To be confirmed |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |

# Ockenden Requirements Implementation: Exception Report

| Date of Report:   | 28 June 2021  | Ockenden ID:    | LAFL 4.73  | Delivery<br>Status: | Not Yet<br>Delivered | Progress<br>Status: | Off Track |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Executive Lead:   | Hayley Flavell  |                 | Women with pre-existing medical co-morbidities must be seen in a timely manner by a multidisciplinary specialist team and an individual management   |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| Action Lead:  | Guy Calcott   | Requirement:    | plan formulated in agreement with the mother to be. This must include a pathway for referral to a specialist maternal medicine centre for consultation and/or continuation of care at an early stage of the pregnancy. |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| Reason for exception and consequences   |   | Mitigation      |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| The Service employees a Clinical Referral Team<br>for the allocation of an appropriate local consulta<br>conditions to nominate a Maternal Medicine Cen<br>Centre is established. Full engagement with the<br>Midlands Perinatal has been evidenced.<br>However, the Trust acknowledges that some of it<br>Further, the specific criteria for referral to the Ma<br>the Centres themselves would have to lead on, h<br>this time. In summary, the reason for the exception<br>centres referral guidance, and lack of capacity at<br>within the timeline at which delivery was initially a | <ol> <li>The risk assessment process at booking has been redesigned with early referral for women<br/>with pre-existing medical conditions - they are seen in multi-disciplinary clinics. Where there<br/>is not a relevant MDT clinic they are seen by an Obstetrician with an interest in maternal<br/>medicine for assessment and referral to a local / tertiary Physician.</li> <li>The development of specialist Maternal Medicine Centres is a National priority, led by each<br/>Clinical Network. In the West Midlands; the centre is yet to be determined but will not be<br/>SaTH. This is not within the control of SaTH to determine timescales.</li> <li>SaTH is moving ahead with the appointment of a consultant to lead on liaison with the<br/>Centre(s) and the necessary guidelines update.</li> </ol> |                 |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| Recommendation  | What lessons have been learnt from this exception?  |                 |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| The MTPG recommend a date re-baselined suffices completion of the establishment of the Maternal Midlands and the associated referral pathway. In lead and update of associated guidelines is program with the Midlands Perinatal Network; the MTPG at the Centres is proceeding positively.   | This deliverable is one of a number of Ockenden Report requirements that depend in part or in full upon external deliverables (in this case the establishment of the Specialist Centres). Although the self-imposed June deadline was selected in a genuine effort for timely delivery, the MTPG accept they should not have set deadlines where so much uncertainty over ability to deliver within that timeframe existing – for expectation management, it would have been better to have left the deadline blank.  |                 |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| Recommendation approval (name / date)   | Original due da   | te:             |  | 30/06/2021          |                      |                     |           |  |  |
| This was presented to the MTAC meeting in July<br>a revised delivery date could not yet be reached.<br>review of a number of delivery dates should be c   | Proposed revis  | ed delivery dat | e:   | To be confirme      | d                    |                     |           |  |  |
|   |   | •               |  |                     |                      |                     |           |  |  |